arXiv:1702.01888v1 [math.RT] 7 Feb 2017

Irreducibility of Certain Subquotients of Spherical Principal Series Representations of Reductive Groups with Frobenius Maps

Junbin Dong

Abstract

For infinite reductive groups with Frobenius maps, we show that certain subquotients of abstract representations of the groups induced from 1-dimensional representations of Borel subgroups are irreducible.

1 Introduction

N. Xi studied abstract representations of infinite reductive groups with Frobenius maps in [Xi]. More precisely, he studied modules of group algebras of these groups and constructed induced modules in the way for finite groups. It turns out abstract representations of infinite reductive groups are interesting and many of them are closely related the representations of finite reductive groups. In particular, he showed that the infinite dimensional Steinberg module is irreducible if the ground field of the module is of characteristic 0 or of the characteristic of the defining field of the concerned reductive group, by using the irreducibility of the corresponding Steinberg modules of finite reductive groups. Later, R. Yang showed that the infinite dimensional Steinberg module is irreducible for other fields, so that the Steinberg module is always irreducible (see [Y, Theorem 2.2]).

In this paper we are concerned with composition factors of representations induced from trivial representation of a Borel subgroup. We show that certain subquotients constructed in [Xi, 2.6] are irreducible when G is of type A or of rank 2, see Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.1.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries, Section 3 deals with rank 2 cases, Section 4 and Section 5 deal with type A. In section 6 we formulate a conjecture about the composition factors of representations induced from trivial representation of a Borel subgroup.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect some known facts and also establish a few auxiliary results.

2.1 First we recall some basic facts on reductive group defined over a finite field, one is referred [C] for more details.

Let G be a connected reductive group over the algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements. We assume that G is defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then G has a Borel subgroup B defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and B contains a maximal torus T defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The unipotent radical U of B is also defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

For any subgroup H of G and any power of q^a of q, denote by H_{q^a} the set of $\mathbb{F}_{q^a}$-points of H. Then we have

$$G = \bigcup_{a=1}^{\infty} G_{q^a}, \quad B = \bigcup_{a=1}^{\infty} B_{q^a}, \quad U = \bigcup_{a=1}^{\infty} U_{q^a}, \quad T = \bigcup_{a=1}^{\infty} T_{q^a}. \tag{1}$$

Let $N = N_G(T)$ be the normalizer of T in G. Then B and N forms a BN pairs of G. Let $R \subset \mathrm{Hom}(T, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q^*)$ be the root system and R^+ be the set of positive roots determined by B. Let Δ be the set of simple roots in R. Let $W = N/T$ be the Weyl group of G and S the set of simple reflections of W.

For each $\alpha \in R$, there is a unique unipotent subgroup U_α of G which is isomorphic to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and is stable under conjugation by all elements in T. We may choose the isomorphism $\varepsilon_\alpha : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q \to U_\alpha$ so that $t\varepsilon_\alpha(c)t^{-1} = \varepsilon_\alpha(\alpha(t)c)$. When α is positive, U_α is in U. The unipotent subgroups U_α are defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and their $\mathbb{F}_{q^a}$-points are denoted by U_{α,q^a} respectively. The following property is well known.

(a) For $w \in W$ and $\alpha \in R$ we have $n_w U_\alpha n_w^{-1} = U_{w(\alpha)}$, here n_w is a representative of w in N. If n_w is in G_{q^a}, then $n_w U_{\alpha,q^a} n_w^{-1} = U_{w(\alpha),q^a}$

For simple root α we shall denote by s_α the corresponding simple reflection. Let $w = s_{\alpha_k} \dots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1}$ be a reduced expression of w. Set $\beta_j = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_{j-1}}(\alpha_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Define

$$U_w = U_{\beta_k} \dots U_{\beta_2} U_{\beta_1} \qquad U'_w = \prod_{\beta \in R^+, w(\beta) \in R^+} U_\beta. \tag{2}$$

Then

(b) U_w and U'_w are subgroups and $wU'_w w^{-1} \in U$;

(c) $U = U'_w U_w = U_w U'_w$;

(d) each $u \in U_w$ is uniquely expressible in the form $u = u_{\beta_k} \dots u_{\beta_2} u_{\beta_1}$ with $u_{\beta_i} \in U_{\beta_i}$.

Note that for simple reflection s_α we have $U_w = U_\alpha$ and $U'_w = \prod_{\beta \in R^+ - \{\alpha\}} U_\beta$ which will be denoted by U'_α.

(e) Given two positive roots α and β, there exist integers $c_{\alpha\beta}^{mn}$ such that

$$[\varepsilon_\alpha(a), \varepsilon_\beta(b)] := \varepsilon_\alpha(a)\varepsilon_\beta(b)\varepsilon_\alpha(a)^{-1}\varepsilon_\beta(b)^{-1} = \prod_{m,n>0} \varepsilon_{m\alpha+n\beta}(c_{\alpha\beta}^{mn} a^m b^n) \qquad (3)$$

for all $a, b \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, where the product is over all integers $m, n > 0$ such that $m\alpha + n\beta \in R^+$, taken according to the chosen ordering.

(f) Numbering all positive roots in any order $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r$, then $U = U_{\gamma_1} U_{\gamma_2} \cdots U_{\gamma_r}$.

Definition 2.2 Keep the notations in (f). Let D be a subset of Δ. An element $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_r \in U$, $u_i \in U_{\gamma_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., r$, is called D-regular provided that $u_i \neq 1$ (the neutral element of G) if and only if $\gamma_i \in D$. If D contains only one simple root α, D-regular elements are simply called as α-regular element.

According to the formula (3), the definition of D-regular is independent of the numbering of positive roots. When $D = \Delta$ is the set of all simple roots, D-regular elements are just regular unipotent elements. For $w \in W$, let $\Delta_w = \{\alpha \in \Delta \,|\, w(\alpha) \in -R^+\}$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $w \in W$. For any element $u \in U_{w,q^m}$ (the set of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-points of U_w) and any integer $n > m$, there exists an element $y \in U_{w,q^n}$ such that $yu \in U_{w,q^n}$ is Δ_w-regular.

Proof Let $w = s_{\alpha_k} \dots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1}$ be a reduced expression of w and $\beta_j = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_{j-1}}(\alpha_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Assume that $y = y_{\beta_k} \dots y_{\beta_2} y_{\beta_1} \in U_{w,q^n}$, $y_{\beta_i} \in U_{\beta_i}$ for all i. If $y_{\beta_i} \in U_{\beta_i,q^n} \backslash U_{\beta_i,q^m}$ for all i, then y is Δ_w-regular. Using the formula (3) in subsection 2.1 we see that yw is Δ_w-regular. □

2.4 Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a field. For a group H denote by $\mathfrak{K}H$ the group algebra of H over $\mathfrak{K}$. For a one-dimensional representation θ of T over $\mathfrak{K}$, let $\mathfrak{K}_\theta$ be the

corresponding $\mathfrak{K}T$-module, which will be regarded as $\mathfrak{K}B$-module through the natural homomorphism $B \to T$. We define the $\mathfrak{K}G$ module

$$M(\theta) = \mathfrak{K}G \otimes_{\mathfrak{K}B} \mathfrak{K}_\theta,$$

which is called a *spherical principal series representation of* G. When θ is the trivial representation of T over $\mathfrak{K}$, we write $M(tr)$ for $M(\theta)$ and choose a nonzero element 1_{tr} in k_θ. For x in $\mathfrak{K}G$, we simply denote $x \otimes 1_{tr}$ in $M(tr)$ by $x1_{tr}$. For any element $t \in T$ and $n \in N$, we have $nt1_{tr} = n1_{tr}$. So $w1_{tr} = n_w 1_{tr}$ is well defined for any $w \in W$. Using the Bruhat decomposition of G we get the following result.

$$M(tr) = \sum_{w \in W} \mathfrak{K}Uw1_{tr}. \tag{4}$$

For any subset J of S, we shall denote W_J be the subgroup of W generated by J and let w_J be the longest element of W_J. Set

$$\eta_J = \sum_{w \in W_J} (-1)^{l(w)} w1_{tr},$$

where $l(w)$ is the length of w. The following result is proved in [Xi, Prop. 2.3].

(a) $M(tr)_J := \mathfrak{K}UW\eta_J$ is a submodule of $M(tr)$. In particular $St = \mathfrak{K}U\eta_S$ is a submodule of $M(tr)$ and is called the Steinberg module of G.

Let $w = s_\alpha$ be a simple reflection corresponding to the simple root α. Recall that U_α and U'_α stand for U_w and U'_w respectively. Set $n_\alpha = n_{s_\alpha}$. Assume that $u \in U_\alpha \backslash \{1\}$ and $h \in W$ such that $l(hw_J) = l(h) + l(w_J)$. The following result are well known or established in the proof of [Xi, Prop. 2.3].

(b) There exists $x, y \in U_\alpha \backslash \{1\}$ and $t \in T$ such that $n_\alpha u {n_\alpha}^{-1} = x n_\alpha t y$.

(c) If $hw_J \leq s_\alpha h w_J$, then we have $n_\alpha uh\eta_J = n_\alpha h\eta_J \in \mathfrak{K}UW\eta_J$.

(d) If $s_\alpha h \leq h$, then $n_\alpha uh\eta_J = xh\eta_J$, where x is defined in (b).

(e) If $h \leq s_\alpha h$ but $s_\alpha h w_J \leq h w_J$, then $n_\alpha uh\eta_J = (x-1)h\eta_J$, where x is defined in (b).

2.5 Let J be a subset of S and $M(tr)'_J$ be the sum of all $M(tr)_K$ with $J \subsetneq K$. Then $M(tr)'_J$ is a proper submodule of $M(tr)_J$. Following [Xi, 2.6],

we define

$$E_J = M(tr)_J / M(tr)'_J.$$

According to [Xi, Prop. 2.7] we have the following result.

(a) If J and K are different subsets of S then E_J and E_K are not isomorphic.

For $w \in W$, set $R(w) = \{s \in S \mid ws < w\}$. For any subset J of S, define

$$X_J = \{x \in W \mid x \text{ has minimal length in } xW_J\},$$
$$Y_J = \{w \in X_J \mid R(ww_J) = J\},$$

where w_J is the longest element in the parabolic subgroup W_J.

For any $w \in W$, let

$$C_w = \sum_{y \le w} (-1)^{l(w)-l(y)} p_{y,w}(1) y \in \mathfrak{K}W,$$

where $P_{y,w}$ are Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. Then the elements $C_w,\ w \in W$, form a basis of $\mathfrak{K}W$, see [KL].

Lemma 2.6 For any subsets $J,\ K$ of S, we have

(a) the elements $xC_{w_K},\ x \in X_K$, form a basis of $\mathfrak{K}WC_{w_K}$;

(b) the elements $C_{xw_K},\ x \in X_K$, form a basis of $\mathfrak{K}WC_{w_K}$;

(c) the elements $wC_{w_J},\ C_{xw_J},\ w \in Y_J,\ x \in X_J \backslash Y_J$, form a basis of $\mathfrak{K}WC_{w_J}$.

Proof. Since $W = X_K W_K$ and $wC_{w_K} = (-1)^{l(w)} c_{w_K}$ for any $w \in W_K$, we see that (a) is true. By Lemma 2.8 (c) in [Ge], for $x \in X_K$, we have

$$C_{xw_K} = xC_{w_K} + \sum_{\substack{y \in X_K \\ y<x}} a_y y C_{w_K}, \quad a_y \in \mathfrak{K}. \tag{5}$$

Using induction on $l(x)$ we see that

$$xC_{w_K} = C_{xw_K} + \sum_{\substack{y \in X_K \\ y<x}} a'_y C_{yw_K}, \quad a'_y \in \mathfrak{K}. \tag{6}$$

(b) follows.

We claim that for any $x \in X_J$, the element xC_{w_J} is a linear combination of the elements $wC_{w_J},\ C_{xw_J},\ w \in Y_J,\ x \in X_J \backslash Y_J$. If $l(x) = 0$, then $x \in Y_J$,

the claim is true. Now assume that the claim is true for $y \in X_J$ with $l(y) < l(x)$. If x is in Y_J, the claim is clear. If x is in $X_J \backslash Y_J$, using formula (6) and induction hypothesis we see that the claim is true. (c) is proved. $\square$

Lemma 2.7 For any subset $J \subseteq S$, denote by C_J the image of η_J in E_J. Then the $\mathfrak{K}G$-module E_J is the sum of all $\mathfrak{K}U_{w_J w^{-1}}\, wC_J$, $w \in Y_J$, i.e.,

$$E_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \mathfrak{K}UwC_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \mathfrak{K}U_{w_J w^{-1}}\, wC_J.$$

Proof. For any $w \in W$, set

$$c_w = (-1)^{l(w)} C_w 1_{tr} \in M(tr).$$

By Lemma 2.6 (vi) in [KL], we have

$$c_{w_K} = \eta_K \quad \text{for any subset } K \text{ of } S.$$

According Lemma 2.6 (c), we have

$$M(tr)_J = \mathfrak{K}UW\eta_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \mathfrak{K}Uw\eta_J + \sum_{x \in X_J \backslash Y_J} \mathfrak{K}Uc_{xw_J}. \tag{7}$$

For $x \in X_J \backslash Y_J$, we have $J \subsetneq R(xw_J) = K$. Then $xw_J = x'w_K$ for some $x' \in X_K$. Note that $c_{w_K} = \eta_K$. By Lemma 2.6 (b), $c_{xw_J} = c_{x'w_K} = h\eta_K$ for some $h \in \mathfrak{K}W$. Therefore, c_{xw_J} is in $M(tr)'_J$ if $x \in X_J \backslash Y_J$. Using formula (7) we get

$$E_J = M(tr)_J / M(tr)'_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \mathfrak{K}UwC_J.$$

To finish the proof it suffices to prove that $Uw\eta_J = U_{w_J w^{-1}}\, w\eta_J$ for $w \in Y_J$. Since $\eta_J = \sum_{z \in W_J} (-1)^{l(z)} z 1_{tr}$, we only need to show that $Uwz1_{tr} = U_{w_J w^{-1}}\, wz1_{tr}$ for any $z \in W_J$ and $w \in Y_J$.

Assume that α is a positive root in R. For any $y \in W_J$ we prove that $yw^{-1}(\alpha) \in R^+$ if $w_J w^{-1}(\alpha)$ is positive. Otherwise, $yw^{-1}(\alpha) = \beta$ was negative root. Since $w_J = w_J y^{-1} y$ and $l(w_J y^{-1}) + l(y) = l(w_J)$, we have $\gamma = w_J y^{-1}(\beta) = w_J w^{-1}(\alpha) \in R^+$. This forces that β is a negative root in the root system R_J corresponding to W_J and $\gamma \in R_J \cap R^+$. Then $\delta = w_J(\gamma)$ is a negative root in R_J. Since $l(ww_J) = l(w) + l(w_J)$, we have $\alpha = ww_J(\gamma) = w(\delta) \in R^-$. This contradicts the assumption α

being positive. Therefore we have $z^{-1}w^{-1}U'_{w_Jw^{-1}}wz \in U$. Since $U1_{tr} = 1_{tr}$, we see $U'_{w_Jw^{-1}}wz1_{tr} = wz1_{tr}$ for $w \in Y_J$ and $z \in W_J$. Using 2.1(c) we get that $Uwz1_{tr} = U_{w_Jw^{-1}}\, wz1_{tr}$ for any $z \in W_J$ and $w \in Y_J$. The lemma is proved. □

2.8 An interesting question is whether these $\mathfrak{K}G$-module E_J are irreducible. When J is the empty set, E_J is just the trivial representation of G. When $J = S$, E_J is just the Steinberg module, which is irreducible (see [Xi, Theorem 3.2] and [Y, Theorem 2.2]).

Provided that char $\mathfrak{K} \neq$ char $\mathbb{F}_q$, we will show that E_J is irreducible in the following cases: (1) G is of rank 2 (see Theorem 3.1), (2) G is of type A and J contains only one element or J is a maximal proper subset of S (see Theorem 4.1).

The following result will be used frequently in our proof for Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.

Lemma 2.9 Let M be a $\mathfrak{K}G$-module and $\eta \in M$ is T-fixed (i.e., $t\eta = \eta$ for all $t \in T$). If M' is a submodule of M containing $\sum_{x \in U_{q^a}} x\eta$ for some positive integer a, then $\eta \in M'$.

Proof. The argument in [Y, 2.7] works well here. Let $s_{\alpha_r}s_{\alpha_{r-1}} \dots s_{\alpha_1}$ be a reduced expression of the longest element w_0 of W . Set $\beta_i = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha_i)$. Then for any positive integer b,

$$X_{i,q^b} = U_{\beta_r,q^b}U_{\beta_{r-1},q^b} \dots U_{\beta_i,q^b}$$

is a subgroup of U. Clearly, X_{i,q^b} is a subgroup of $X_{i,q^{b'}}$ if $\mathbb{F}_{q^b}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{b'}}$. We understand that $X_{r+1,q^b} = \{1\}$.

First we use induction on i to show that there exists positive integer b_i such that the element $\sum_{x \in X_{i,q^{b_i}}} x\eta$ is in M'. When $i = 1$, this is true for $b_1 = a$ by assumption. Now we assume that $\sum_{x \in X_{i,q^{b_i}}} x\eta$ is in M'. We show that $\sum_{x \in X_{i+1,q^{b_{i+1}}}} x\eta$ is in M' for some b_{i+1}.

Let $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{q^{b_i}+1}$ be a complete set of representatives of all cosets of $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{b_i}}$ in $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{2b_i}}$. Choose $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q^{b_i}+1} \in T$ such that $\beta_i(t_j) = c_j$ for $j =$

$1, 2, \ldots, q^{b_i}+1$. Note that $t\eta = \eta$ for any $t \in T$. Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q^{b_i}+1} t_j \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_i, q^{b_i}}} x\eta = q^{b_i}\eta + \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_i, q^{2b_i}}} x\eta.$$

Since $X_{i,q^{b_i}} = X_{i+1,q^{b_i}} U_{\beta_i, q^{b_i}}$ and $\sum_{x \in X_{i,q^{b_i}}} x\eta$ is in M', we see that

$$\zeta = \sum_{j=1}^{q^{b_i}+1} t_j \sum_{x \in X_{i,q^{b_i}}} x\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{q^{b_i}+1} t_j \sum_{y \in X_{i+1,q^{b_i}}} y \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_i, q^{b_i}}} x\eta$$

$$= \sum_{y \in X_{i+1,q^{b_i}}} \sum_{j=1}^{q^{b_i}+1} t_j y t_j^{-1} (t_j \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_i, q^{b_i}}} x\eta) \in M'$$

Choose b_{i+1} such that all $\beta_m(t_j)(r \geq m \geq i)$ are contained in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{b_{i+1}}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{b_{i+1}}}$ contains $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2b_i}}$. Then $t_j y t_j^{-1}$ is in $X_{i+1,q^{b_{i+1}}}$ for any $y \in X_{i+1,q^{b_i}}$. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{K}G$ be the sum of all elements in $X_{i+1,q^{b_{i+1}}}$. Then we have

$$Z\zeta = q^{(r-i)b_i} Z \sum_{j=1}^{q^{b_i}+1} t_j \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_i, q^{b_i}}} x\eta = q^{(r-i)b_i} Z (q^{b_i}\eta + \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_i, q^{2b_i}}} x\eta) \in M'. \quad (8)$$

Because $\sum_{x \in X_{i,q^{b_i}}} x\eta$ is in M', we have $\sum_{x \in X_{i,q^{2b_i}}} x\eta \in M'$. Thus

$$Z \sum_{x \in X_{i,q^{2b_i}}} x\eta = q^{2(r-i)b_i} Z \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_i, q^{2b_i}}} x\eta \in M'. \quad (9)$$

Since $q \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{K}$, combining formula (8) and (9) we see that $Z\eta \in M'$, i.e., $\sum_{x \in X_{i+1,q^{b_{i+1}}}} x\eta$ is in M'. Note that $X_{r,q^{b_r}} = U_{\beta_r, q^{b_r}}$. Now we have $\sum_{x \in U_{\beta_r, q^{b_r}}} x\eta \in M'$ and $\sum_{x \in U_{\beta_r, q^{2b_r}}} x\eta \in M'$. Applying formula (8) to the case $i = r$ we get that $q^{b_r}\eta + \sum_{x \in U_{\beta_r, q^{2b_r}}} x\eta \in M'$. Therefore η is in M'. The lemma is proved. □

3 Rank 2 cases

In this section we consider the irreducibility of the modules E_J for rank 2 cases. The main result is the following.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that G is of rank 2 and $\mathrm{char}\,\mathfrak{K} \neq \mathrm{char}\,\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Then the $\mathfrak{K}G$-modules E_J are always irreducible.

We prove Theorem 3.1 case by case. Since S has only two elements, E_S and $E_\emptyset$ are irreducible, we only need to consider the case J containing one element. We shall write E_d instead of E_J when $J = \{d\}$. The neutral element in W will denoted by e.

3.2 In this subsection G is assumed of type A_2. Let $\alpha,\ \beta$ be the simple roots of R and denote by s, r the corresponding simple reflections. Then $W = \{e,\ s,\ r,\ sr,\ rs,\ srs\}$ and $Y_{\{s\}} = \{e,\ r\}$. Since $U_s = U_\alpha$ and $U_{sr} = U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\beta$, by Lemma 2.7 we get

$$E_s = \mathfrak{K}UC_s + \mathfrak{K}UrC_s = \mathfrak{K}U_\alpha C_s + \mathfrak{K}U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\beta rC_s, \tag{10}$$

where C_s is the image of $(1-s)1_{tr}$ in E_s.

We will show that E_s is generated by any nonzero element in E_s, so that E_s is irreducible. Let ξ be a nonzero element in E_s, by formula (10) we then have

$$\xi = \sum_{u\in U_\alpha} a_u uC_s + \sum_{u\in U'_\alpha} b_u urC_s, \tag{11}$$

where $U'_\alpha = U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\beta$; $a_u, b_u \in \mathfrak{K}$ and only finitely many of them are nonzero.

Clearly there is a positive integer m such that $u \in U_{q^m}$ whenever $a_u \neq 0$ or $b_u \neq 0$. We may require that $a_u = 0$ for some $u \in U_{\alpha,q^m}$, this can be done by choosing sufficiently large m. We may further require that $a_1 = 0$ (recall that 1 also stands for the neutral element of G). Otherwise, choose $y \in U_{\alpha,q^m} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $a_{y^{-1}} = 0$, then $y\xi$ satisfies the requirement and we then consider $y\xi$ instead of ξ.

Let n_s (resp. n_r) be a representative of s (resp. r) in $N = N_G(T)$. Then n_s^2 and n_r^2 are in T. Since m is sufficiently large, we may require that n_s and n_r are in G_{q^m}. By 2.1(a) we have

$$n_s(U_{\alpha+\beta,q^m}U_{\beta,q^m})n_s^{-1} = U_{\beta,q^m}U_{\alpha+\beta,q^m} = U_{\alpha+\beta,q^m}U_{\beta,q^m}. \tag{12}$$

Noting that the image of $(1-s-r+sr+rs-srs)1_{tr}$ in E_s is zero, we get

$$n_s rC_s = srC_s = rC_s - C_s. \tag{13}$$

Using formulas (12) and (13) we get the following claim.

(a) For any $u \in U_{\alpha+\beta,q^m}U_{\beta,q^m}$ there exists $u' \in U_{\alpha+\beta,q^m}U_{\beta,q^m}$ such that

$$n_s urC_s = u'(rC_s - C_s). \tag{14}$$

By 2.4(d), we obtain

(b) if $a_u \neq 0$, then $n_s uC_s = (z-1)C_s$ for some $z \in U_{\alpha,q^m}$. (Note that by assumption $a_u \neq 0$ implies that $u \neq 1$.)

Set

$$M' = \mathfrak{K}G\xi, \quad \mathbb{X} = \sum_{x \in U_{q^m}} x, \quad \phi_\alpha = \sum_{u \in U_\alpha} a_u, \quad \phi'_\alpha = \sum_{u \in U'_\alpha} b_u, \quad \phi = \phi_\alpha + \phi'_\alpha.$$

Note that $\mathbb{X}u = \mathbb{X}$ for any $u \in U_{q^m}$. Using (a) and (b) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}\xi - \mathbb{X}n_s\xi &= \phi_\alpha \mathbb{X}C_s + \phi'_\alpha \mathbb{X}rC_s - \phi'_\alpha \mathbb{X}(rC_s - C_s) \\ &= \phi \mathbb{X}C_s \in M', \end{aligned}$$

If $\phi \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{X}C_s \in M'$. By Lemma 2.9 , $C_s \in M'$. Thus $M' = E_s$ in this case.

Now assume that $\phi = 0$ but $a_{u_0} \neq 0$ for some $u_0 \in U_{\alpha,q^m}$. Note that $n_s C_s = -C_s$. Using (a) and (b) we see

$$\mathbb{X}\xi - \mathbb{X}n_s {u_0}^{-1}\xi = \phi \mathbb{X}C_s + a_{u_0}\mathbb{X}C_s = a_{u_0}\mathbb{X}C_s \in M'.$$

Using Lemma 2.9 , we get $C_s \in M'$. So in this case we also have $M' = E_s$. We have proved the following result.

(c) Let ξ be a nonzero element in E_s of the form (11) with $a_1 = 0$. If $\phi \neq 0$ or $a_{u_0} \neq 0$ for some $u_0 \in U_\alpha$, then $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_s$.

If all a_u are zero, then there exists $v \in U_{\alpha+\beta,q^m}U_{\beta,q^m}$ such that $b_v \neq 0$. Then

$$n_r v^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u \in U_\alpha} a'_u uC_s + \sum_{u \in U'_\alpha} b'_u urC_s,$$

where $a'_u, b'_u \in \mathfrak{K}$ and $a'_1 \neq 0$.

Choose $z \in U_\alpha \backslash U_{\alpha,q^m}$, let

$$\xi' = zn_r v^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u \in U_\alpha} a''_u uC_s + \sum_{u \in U'_\alpha} b''_u urC_s.$$

Since $a'_u \neq 0$ implies that $u \in U_{\alpha,q^m}$, we have $a''_1 = 0$. By (c) we have $\mathfrak{K}G\xi' = E_s$. So in this case we also have $M' = \mathfrak{K}G\xi = \mathfrak{K}Gzn_rv^{-1}\xi = \mathfrak{K}G\xi' = E_s$.

We have proved that E_s is an irreducible $\mathfrak{K}G$-module. Since s, r are symmetric, the $\mathfrak{K}G$-module E_r is also irreducible.

3.3 In this subsection G is assumed of type B_2. Let α, β be the simple roots of R and denote by s, r the corresponding simple reflection. We assume that α is short, then R^+ consists of $\alpha,\ \beta,\ \alpha+\beta,\ 2\alpha+\beta$. We have $W = \{e,\ s,\ r,\ sr,\ rs,\ srs,\ rsr,\ srsr\}$ and $Y_{\{s\}} = \{e,\ r,\ sr\}$.

Since $U_s = U_\alpha,\ U_{sr} = U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\beta,\ U_{srs} = U_{\alpha+\beta}U_{2\alpha+\beta}U_\alpha$, by Lemma 2.7 we get

$$E_s = \mathfrak{K}U_\alpha C_s + \mathfrak{K}U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\beta rC_s + \mathfrak{K}U_\alpha U_{\alpha+\beta}U_{2\alpha+\beta}srC_s, \tag{15}$$

where C_s is the image of $(1-s)1_{tr}$ in E_s.

We show that E_s is generated by any nonzero element in E_s so that E_s is irreducible. Let ξ be a nonzero element of E_s, by formula (15) we have

$$\xi = \sum_{u\in U_\alpha} a_u uC_s + \sum_{u\in V} b_u urC_s + \sum_{u\in U'_\beta} c_u usrC_s, \tag{16}$$

where $V = U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\beta$, $U'_\beta = U_\alpha U_{\alpha+\beta}U_{2\alpha+\beta} = U_{\alpha+\beta}U_{2\alpha+\beta}U_\alpha$, $a_u, b_u, c_u \in \mathfrak{K}$ and only finitely many of them are nonzero.

Clearly there is a positive integer m such that $u \in U_{q^m}$ whenever $a_u \neq 0$, or $b_u \neq 0$, or $c_u \neq 0$. We can choose m so that n_s and n_r are in G_{q^m}. Recall the Definition 2.2, we may further require that u is α-regular (resp. β-regular; α-regular) if $a_u \neq 0$ (resp. $b_u \neq 0$; $c_u \neq 0$). Otherwise, according to Lemma 2.3, we can choose some $y \in U$ such that $y\xi$ satisfies the requirement. Then we consider $y\xi$ instead of ξ.

Let n_s (resp. n_r) be a representative of s (resp. r) in $N = N_G(T)$. Then n_s^2 and n_r^2 are in T. Since m is sufficiently large, we may require that n_s and n_r are in G_{q^m}. Noting that the image of $(1-s-r+rs+sr-srs-rsr+rsrs)1_{tr}$ in E_s is zero, we have

$$rsrC_s = C_s - rC_s + srC_s. \tag{17}$$

With the assumption of ξ, using 2.1(a), 2.4(c), 2.4(d), 2.4(e) and formula (17) we have

(a) If $a_u \neq 0$, then $n_s u C_s = (z-1)C_s$ for some $z \in U_{q^m}$. If $b_u \neq 0$, then $n_s u r C_s \in U_{q^m} s r C_s$. If $c_u \neq 0$, then $n_s u s r C_s \in U_{q^m} s r C_s$.

(b) If $a_u \neq 0$, then $n_r u C_s \in U_{q^m} r C_s$. If $b_u \neq 0$ then $n_r u r C_s \in U_{q^m} r C_s$. If $c_u \neq 0$ then $n_r u s r C_s = u'(C_s - r C_s + s r C_s)$ for some $u' \in U_{q^m}$.

For convenience, set

$$M' = \mathfrak{K} G \xi, \quad \mathbb{X} = \sum_{x \in U_{q^m}} x, \quad \phi_a = \sum_{u \in U_\alpha} a_u, \quad \phi_b = \sum_{u \in V} b_u, \quad \phi_c = \sum_{u \in U'_\beta} c_u.$$

Note that $\mathbb{X}u = \mathbb{X}$ for any $u \in U_{q^m}$. Using (a) we get

$$\mathbb{X} n_s \xi = (\phi_b + \phi_c) \mathbb{X} s r C_s \in M'.$$

If $\phi_b + \phi_c \neq 0$, using Lemma 2.9 we know that $s r C_s \in M'$. Thus $M' = E_s$ in this case.

Let $\xi_1 = n_r \xi$ and by (b) we write ξ_1 as

$$\xi_1 = \sum_{u \in U} f_u u r C_s + \sum_{u \in U} g_u u r s r C_s, \tag{18}$$

where $\phi_f = \phi_a + \phi_b$.

We can assume that all $u \in U$ appear in ξ_1 are β-regular. Otherwise, we can choose some $y \in U$ such that $y\xi_1$ satisfies the requirement by Lemma 2.3. Then we consider $y\xi_1$ instead of ξ_1.With the assumption on ξ_1, using 2.4(e), it is easy to see the following result.

(c) If $g_u \neq 0$, then $n_s u r s r C_s = (y - z) r s r C_s$ for some $y, z \in U$.

Using (b) and (c) we get

$$\mathbb{X} n_s \xi_1 = (\phi_a + \phi_b) \mathbb{X} s r C_s \in M'.$$

If $\phi_a + \phi_b \neq 0$, then $s r C_s \in M'$ by Lemma 2.9 which implies $M' = E_s$.

Now suppose $\phi_a + \phi_b = 0$ then using the formula (18) we get

$$\mathbb{X} \xi_1 = \phi_c \mathbb{X} r s r C_s \in M'.$$

If $\phi_c \neq 0$, Also by Lemma 2.9 we have $r s r C_s \in M'$ and $M' = E_s$.

(d) By above computation, if $\phi_a \neq 0$ or $\phi_b \neq 0$ or $\phi_c \neq 0$ then $M' = E_s$.

We just need to deal with the case $\phi_a = \phi_b = \phi_c = 0$. In the following we assume that $\phi_a = \phi_b = \phi_c = 0$.

Now assume that $a_{u_1} \neq 0$ for some $u_1 \in U_\alpha$. Note that $n_s U_{q^m} r C_s \in U_{q^m} s r C_s$ and $n_s U_{q^m} s r C_s \in U_{q^m} r C_s$ or $U_{q^m} s r C_s$. Then we have

$$n_s {u_1}^{-1} \xi = \sum_{u \in U_\alpha} a'_u u C_s + \sum_{u \in V} b'_u u r C_s + \sum_{u \in U'_\beta} c'_u u s r C_s, \tag{19}$$

where $\phi_{a'} = -a_{u_1}$. By the result in (d), $\mathfrak{K} G n_s {u_1}^{-1} \xi = E_s$ which implies $M' = E_s$ in this case.

We compute the form of $n_s n_r u r C_s$ for any $u \in U_{\alpha+\beta} U_\beta$ and $n_s n_r u s r C_s$ for any $u \in U_{\alpha+\beta} U_{2\alpha+\beta} U_\alpha$. The following results can be obtained from the 2.4(c), 2.4(d), 2.4 (e).

(e) If $u = 1$ then $n_s n_r u r C_s = -C_s$. If $u_\beta \neq 1$ then $n_s n_r u r C_s \in U s r C_s$. If $u_{\alpha+\beta} \neq 1$ but $u_\beta = 1$ then $n_s n_r u r C_s = (x - y) C_s$ for some $x, y \in U$.

(f) If $u_{\alpha+\beta} = 1$ then $n_s n_r u s r C_s = -x C_s + x r C_s - x s r C_s$ for some $x \in U$. If $u_{\alpha+\beta} \neq 1$ then $n_s n_r u s r C_s = (y - z)(C_s + s r C_s)$ for some $y, z \in U$.

Assume that all a_u are zero but $b_{u_2} \neq 0$ for some $u_2 \in V$, we write $n_s n_r {u_2}^{-1} \xi$ as

$$n_s n_r {u_2}^{-1} \xi = \sum_{u \in U_\alpha} a''_u u C_1 + \sum_{u \in V} b''_u u r C_s + \sum_{u \in U'_\beta} c''_u u s r C_s \tag{20}$$

and by (e) and (f) we can see $\phi_{a''} + \phi_{b''} = -b_{u_2}$ which is nonzero. We can deal with this case by the argument in (d) and obtain $M' = E_s$.

For the last case that a_u, b_u are all zero but $c_{u_3} \neq 0$ for some $u_3 \in U'_\beta$, we can easy to see that

$$n_s {u_3}^{-1} \xi = \sum_{u \in V} b^*_u u r C_s + \sum_{u \in U'_\beta} c^*_u u s r C_s$$

where $b^*_1 = c_{u_3}$ is nonzero. So in this case we also have $M' = E_s$.

Now we complete the proof that if ξ is a nonzero element of E_s then $\mathfrak{K} G \xi = E_s$. So E_s is irreducible. Similarly, we can prove E_r is irreducible.

3.4 In this subsection, we give some a remark on the proof of type B_2 case. Instead of computing the results of (e) and (f) in subsection 3.4, we give another proof which is also useful later.

Let ξ be a element of the form in (16) satisfy our requirement as before. We have proved if $\phi_a \neq 0$ or $\phi_b \neq 0$ or $\phi_c \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_s$.

When $a_{u_1} \neq 0$ for some u_1, we have $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_s$ by the same reason as subsection 3.3. Now suppose all a_u are zero but $b_{u_2} \neq 0$ for some $u_2 \in V$. Using 2.4(c), 2.4(d), 2.4(e), we have

(a) If $u_\beta = 1$, then $n_r urC_s \in UC_s$.

(b) If $u_\beta \neq 1$, then $n_r urC_s \in UrC_s$.

(c) $n_r usrC_s = xrsrC_s = x(C_s - rC_s + srC_s)$ for some $x \in U$.

We consider the element $n_r {u_2}^{-1}\xi$ and write it as

$$n_r {u_2}^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u\in U_\alpha} a'_u uC_s + \sum_{u\in V} b'_u urC_s + \sum_{u\in U'_\beta} c'_u usrC_s. \tag{21}$$

If some a'_u is nonzero, then we have $E_s = \mathfrak{K}Gn_r {u_2}^{-1}\xi \subseteq \mathfrak{K}G\xi$ which implies $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_s$. Otherwise, We may choose the isomorphism $\varepsilon_\beta : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q \to U_\beta$ and we consider the element $n_r\varepsilon_\beta(c)\xi$ and write it as

$$n_r\varepsilon_\beta(c)\xi = \sum_{u\in U_\alpha} a'_u(c)u(c)C_s + \sum_{u\in V} b'_u(c)u(c)rC_s + \sum_{u\in U'_\beta} c'_u(c)u(c)srC_s. \tag{22}$$

The number of $c \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ which makes $\varepsilon_\beta(c)u_\beta = 1$ for $b_u \neq 0$ is finite. Since $\sum_{u\in U_\alpha} a'_u(c)u(c)C_s$ are not always zero and the roots of $\sum_{u\in U_\alpha} a'_u(c)u(c)C_s = 0$ is finite, we can choose some $c_1 \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ such that $a'_u(c_1) \neq 0$ for some u. Therefore we can prove $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_s$.

For the last case that a_u, b_u are all zero but $c_{u_3} \neq 0$ for some $u_3 \in U'_\beta$, the proof is similar to subsection 3.3 and we can prove E_s is irreducible.

3.5 In this subsection G is assumed of type G_2. Let α, β be the simple roots of R and denote by s, r the corresponding simple reflection. We assume that α is a long root, then R^+ consists of $\alpha,\ \beta,\ \alpha{+}\beta,\ \alpha{+}2\beta,\ \alpha{+}3\beta,\ 2\alpha{+}3\beta$. We have $W = \{e,\ s,\ r,\ sr,\ rs,\ srs,\ rsr,\ srsr,\ rsrs,\ srsrs,\ rsrsr,\ rsrsrs\}$ and $Y_{\{s\}} = \{e,\ r,\ sr,\ rsr,\ srsr\}$.

Using (2) we have $U_s = U_\alpha$, $U_{sr} = U_{\alpha+3\beta}U_\beta$, $U_{srs} = U_{2\alpha+3\beta}U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\alpha$, $U_{srsr} = U_{2\alpha+3\beta}U_{\alpha+2\beta}U_{\alpha+3\beta}U_\beta$, $U_{srsrs} = U_{\alpha+3\beta}U_{\alpha+2\beta}U_{2\alpha+3\beta}U_{\alpha+\beta}U_\alpha$ and denote by $V_i = U_w$ where $l(w) = i$. By Lemma 2.7 we get

$$E_s = \mathfrak{K}V_1C_s + \mathfrak{K}V_2rC_s + \mathfrak{K}V_3srC_s + \mathfrak{K}V_4rsrC_s + \mathfrak{K}V_5srsrC_s, \tag{23}$$

where C_s is the image of $(1-s)1_{tr}$ in E_s.

We show that E_s is generated by any nonzero element in E_s so that E_s is irreducible. Let ξ be a nonzero element of E_s. Using (23) we have

$$\xi = \sum_{u\in V_1} a_u uC_s + \sum_{u\in V_2} b_u urC_s + \sum_{u\in V_3} c_u usrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_4} d_u ursrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} e_u usrsrC_s, \tag{24}$$

where $a_u, b_u, c_u, d_u, e_u \in \mathfrak{K}$ and only finitely many of them are nonzero.

Clearly there is a positive integer m such that $u \in U_{q^m}$ if $a_u \neq 0$, $b_u \neq 0$, $c_u \neq 0$, $d_u \neq 0$ or $e_u \neq 0$. We may require that if $a_u \neq 0$ (resp. $b_u \neq 0$; $c_u \neq 0$; $d_u \neq 0$; $e_u \neq 0$), then u is α-regular (resp. β-regular; α-regular; β-regular; α-regular). Otherwise, according to Lemma 2.3, we can choose some $y \in U$ such that $y\xi$ satisfies the requirement. Then we consider $y\xi$ instead of ξ.

Let n_s (resp. n_r) be a representative of s (resp. r) in $N = N_G(T)$. Then n_s^2 and n_r^2 are in T. Since m is sufficiently large, we may require that n_s and n_r are in G_{q^m}. Noting that the image of η_S where $S = \{s, r\}$ in E_s is zero, we have

$$rsrsrC_s = C_s - rC_s + srC_s - rsrC_s + srsrC_s. \tag{25}$$

With the assumption of ξ, using 2.4(c), 2.4(d), 2.4(e), we get

(a) If $a_u \neq 0$ (resp. $b_u \neq 0$; $c_u \neq 0$; $d_u \neq 0$; $e_u \neq 0$), then $n_r uC_s \in UrC_s$ (resp. $n_r urC_s \in UrC_s$; $n_r usrC_s \in UrsrC_s$; $n_r ursrC_s \in UrsrC_s$; $n_r usrsrC_s \in UrsrsrC_s$).

(b) If $a_u \neq 0$ (resp. $b_u \neq 0$; $c_u \neq 0$; $d_u \neq 0$; $e_u \neq 0$), then $n_s uC_s = (y-z)C_s$ for some $y, z \in U$ (resp. $n_s urC_s \in UsrC_s$; $n_s usrC_s \in UsrC_s$; $n_s ursrC_s \in UsrsrC_s$; $n_s usrsrC_s \in UsrsrC_s$).

For convenience, set

$$M' = \mathfrak{K}G\xi, \quad \mathbb{X} = \sum_{x\in U_{q^m}} x, \quad \phi_h = \sum_{u\in V_i} h_u \text{ for } h = a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e,\ a',\ b' \ldots$$

Let $\xi_1 = n_r\xi$ and using (a) ξ_1 can be written as

$$\xi_1 = \sum_{u\in V_2} b_u^{(1)} urC_s + \sum_{u\in V_4} d_u^{(1)} ursrC_s + \sum_{u\in U} e_u^{(1)} ursrsrC_s \in E_s. \tag{26}$$

Here we consider $rsrsrC_s$ as its image in E_s and for convenience, we do not write it as $C_s - rC_s + srC_s - rsrC_s + srsrC_s$. We can also obtain that $\phi_{b^{(1)}} = \phi_a + \phi_b,\ \phi_{d^{(1)}} = \phi_c + \phi_d,\ \phi_{e^{(1)}} = \phi_e$.

We can assume that all $u \in U$ appear in ξ_1 are α-regular. Otherwise, we can choose some $y \in U$ such that $y\xi_1$ satisfies the requirement by Lemma 2.3. Then we consider $y\xi_1$ instead of ξ_1.

With the assumption of ξ_1, it is easy to see following result.

(c) If $e_u^{(1)} \neq 0$, then $n_s urs rs rC_s = (x-y)rs rs rC_s$ for some $x, y \in U$.

Let $\xi_2 = n_s\xi_1$ and using (b) and (c) we have

$$\xi_2 = \sum_{u\in V_3} c_u^{(2)} usrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} e_u^{(2)} usrs rC_s + \sum_{u\in U} f_{y,z}^{(2)}(y-z)rs rs rC_s, \tag{27}$$

where $\phi_{c^{(2)}} = \phi_a + \phi_b,\ \phi_{e^{(2)}} = \phi_c + \phi_d$.

We can also assume that all $u \in U$ appear in ξ_2 are β-regular. Let $\xi_3 = n_r\xi_2$ and using (a), we have

$$\xi_3 = \sum_{u\in V_4} c_u^{(3)} urs rC_s + \sum_{u\in U} e_u^{(3)} urs rs rC_s + \sum_{y,z\in U} f_{y,z}^{(3)}(y-z)rs rs rC_s, \tag{28}$$

where $\phi_{c^{(3)}} = \phi_{c^{(2)}} = \phi_a + \phi_b,\ \phi_{e^{(3)}} = \phi_{e^{(2)}}$.

Assume that all $u \in U$ appear in ξ_3 are α-regular. Let $\xi_4 = n_s\xi_3$ and by (b) and (c) we have

$$\xi_4 = \sum_{u\in V_5} c_u^{(4)} usrs rC_s + \sum_{y,z\in U} f_{y,z}^{(4)}(y-z)rs rs rC_s \tag{29}$$

where $\phi_{c^{(4)}} = \phi_{c^{(3)}} = \phi_a + \phi_b$.

Noting $\mathbb{X}u = \mathbb{X}$ for any $u \in U_{q^m}$ we can obtain

$$\mathbb{X}\xi_4 = (\phi_a + \phi_b)\mathbb{X}srs rC_s \in M'.$$

Thus if $\phi_a + \phi_b \neq 0$ then $srs rC_s \in M'$ by Lemma 2.9 and we have $M' = E_s$.

Assume that $\phi_a + \phi_b = 0$. Using (27) we can see

$$\mathbb{X}\xi_2 = (\phi_c + \phi_d)\mathbb{X}srs rC_s \in M'.$$

Hence, If $\phi_c + \phi_d \neq 0$, Using Lemma 2.9 we have $srs rC_s \in M'$ which implies $M' = E_s$.

Now we assume that $\phi_a + \phi_b = 0$ and $\phi_c + \phi_d = 0$. Using (26) we get

$$\mathbb{X}\xi_1 = \phi_e\mathbb{X}rs rs rC_s \in M'.$$

Hence, if $\phi_e \neq 0$, then $rsrsrC_s \in M'$ by Lemma 2.9 and we have $M' = E_s$.

Let $\zeta_1 = n_s\xi$ and using (b) we can see that

$$\zeta_1 = \sum_{y,z\in V_1} f_{y,z}(y-z)C_s + \sum_{u\in V_3} g_u usrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} h_u usrsrC_s, \tag{30}$$

where $\phi_g = \phi_b + \phi_c,\ \phi_h = \phi_d + \phi_e$.

We can also assume that all $u \in U$ appear in ζ_1 are β-regular by Lemma 2.3. Let $\zeta_2 = n_r\zeta_1$ and using (a), we have

$$\zeta_2 = \sum_{y,z\in V_2} f'_{y,z}(y-z)rC_s + \sum_{u\in V_3} g'_u ursrC_s + \sum_{u\in U} h'_u ursrsrC_s \tag{31}$$

and $\phi_{g'} = \phi_g = \phi_b + \phi_c$.

As before, We can also assume that all $u \in U$ appear in ζ_2 are α-regular by Lemma 2.3. Let $\zeta_3 = n_s\zeta_2$ and using (b) we obtain

$$\zeta_3 = \sum_{y,z\in V_3} f''_{y,z}(y-z)srC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} g''_u usrsrC_s + \sum_{y,z\in U} k_{y,z}(y-z)rsrsrC_s, \tag{32}$$

where $\phi_{g''} = \phi_b + \phi_c$. It is not difficult to see

$$\mathbb{X}\zeta_3 = (\phi_b + \phi_c)\mathbb{X}srsrC_s \in M'.$$

Hence, if $\phi_b + \phi_c \neq 0$ then $srsrC_s \in M'$ by Lemma 2.9 and we have $M' = E_s$.

Now we assume that $\phi_b + \phi_c = 0$. Using (30) we have

$$\mathbb{X}\zeta_1 = (\phi_d + \phi_e)\mathbb{X}srsrC_s \in M'.$$

Hence, if $\phi_d + \phi_e \neq 0$ then $srsrC_s \in M'$ by Lemma 2.9 and we have $M' = E_s$.

(d) By the computation above we find that if one of $\phi_a,\ \phi_b,\ \phi_c,\ \phi_d,\ \phi_e$ is nonzero then $M' = E_s$. Thus we just need to deal with the case $\phi_a = \phi_b = \phi_c = \phi_d = \phi_e = 0$.

Now assume that $a_{u_1} \neq 0$ for some $u_1 \in V_1$. Then by 2.4(c), 2.4(d), 2.4(e), it is easy to see that

$$n_s {u_1}^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u\in V_1} a^*_u uC_s + \sum_{u\in V_2} b^*_u urC_s + \sum_{u\in V_3} c^*_u usrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_4} d^*_u ursrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} e^*_u usrsrC_s$$

where $\phi_{a^*} = -a_{u_1}$. By the result in (d), we have $M' = E_s$ in this case.

Now we assume that all a_u are zero but not all b_u, c_u, d_u, e_u in ξ are zero. Let $\zeta = n_s\xi$ and with the assumption of ξ we have

$$\zeta = \sum_{u\in V_3} p_u usrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} q_u usrsrC_s, \tag{33}$$

where $\phi_p = \phi_q = 0$ and p_u, q_u are not all zero.

We compute the form of $n_sn_rn_susrC_s$ for any $u \in V_3$ and $n_sn_rn_susrsrC_s$ for any $u \in V_5$. The following results can be obtained from 2.4(c), 2.4(d), 2.4(e).

(e) If $u = 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrC_s = -C_s$. If $u_\alpha \neq 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrC_s \in UsrsrC_s$. If $u_\alpha = 1$ but $u_{\alpha+\beta} \neq 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrC_s \in UsrC_s$. If $u_\alpha = u_{\alpha+\beta} = 1$ but $u_{2\alpha+3\beta} \neq 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrC_s = (x-y)C_s$ for some $x, y \in U$.

(f) If $u_\alpha = u_{\alpha+\beta} = u_{2\alpha+3\beta} = 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrsrC_s \in UrC_s$. If $u_\alpha = u_{\alpha+\beta} = 1$ but $u_{2\alpha+3\beta} \neq 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrsrC_s \in UsrC_s$. If $u_\alpha = 1$ but $u_{\alpha+\beta} \neq 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrsrC_s \in UsrsrC_s$. If $u_\alpha \neq 1$ then $n_sn_rn_susrsrC_s \in \mathfrak{K}UrsrsrC_s$.

In the formula (33) of ζ we assume $p_{u_1} \neq 0$ for some u_1 and we let $\zeta' = n_sn_rn_s{u_1}^{-1}\zeta$,

$$\zeta' = \sum_{u\in V_1} a'_u uC_s + \sum_{u\in V_2} b'_u urC_s + \sum_{u\in V_3} c'_u usrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_4} d'_u ursrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} e'_u usrsrC_s.$$

Using (e), (f) and (25) we can see $\phi_{a'} + \phi_{d'} = -p_{u_1}$ which is nonzero. Then $\phi_{a'} \neq 0$ or $\phi_{d'} \neq 0$. We can deal with this case by the result in (d) and obtain $M' = E_s$.

The last case is all p_u are zero but $q_{u_2} \neq 0$ for some $u_2 \in V_5$ in the formula (33). Let

$$\zeta_1 = n_s{u_2}^{-1}\zeta = \sum_{u\in V_4} p'_u ursrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} q'_u usrsrC_s$$

and $p'_1 = q_{u_2}$ is nonzero.

We compute the form of $\Psi_1 = n_sn_rn_sn_rursrC_s$ for any $u \in V_4$ and $\Psi_2 = n_sn_rn_sn_rusrsrC_s$ for any $u \in V_5$. The following results can be obtained from 2.4(c), 2.4(d), 2.4(e).

(g) If $u_\beta = u_{\alpha+3\beta} = u_{\alpha+2\beta} = u_{2\alpha+3\beta} = 1$ then $\Psi_1 = -C_s$. If $u_\beta = u_{\alpha+3\beta} = u_{\alpha+2\beta} = 1$ but $u_{2\alpha+3\beta} \neq 1$ then $\Psi_1 = (x-y)C_s$ for some $x, y \in U$. For other case, we have $\Psi_1 \in \mathfrak{K}UsrC_s + \mathfrak{K}UsrsrC_s + \mathfrak{K}UrsrsrC_s$.

(h) We always have $\Psi_2 \in \mathfrak{K}UrsrC_s + \mathfrak{K}UsrsrC_s + \mathfrak{K}UrsrsrC_s$.

Let $\zeta_2 = n_s n_r n_s n_r \zeta_1$ and we write ζ_2 as

$$\zeta_2 = \sum_{u\in V_1} a''_u uC_s + \sum_{u\in V_2} b''_u urC_s + \sum_{u\in V_3} c''_u usrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_4} d''_u ursrC_s + \sum_{u\in V_5} e''_u usrsrC_s.$$

Then by (g), (h) and (25) we can obtain $\phi_{a''} + \phi_{b''} = -p'_1$ which is nonzero. Then $\phi_{a''} \neq 0$ or $\phi_{b''} \neq 0$. We can deal with this case by the result in (d) and obtain $M' = E_s$.

We can also prove that E_r is irreducible in the similar way. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.

By Theorem 3.1 the $\mathfrak{K}G$ module $M(tr)$ has the following composition series $0 \subsetneqq St \subsetneqq M(tr)_{\{s\}} \subsetneqq M(tr)_{\{s\}} + M(tr)_{\{r\}} \subsetneqq M(tr)$.

4 Type A case, I

In this section G is assumed to be a reductive group whose derived group is of type A_n. The Weyl group then is isomorphic to the symmetric group of $n+1$ letters. The main result of this section is the following result.

Theorem 4.1 Assume that G is a connected reductive group over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ whose derived group is of type A_n and $\operatorname{char}\mathfrak{K} \neq \operatorname{char}\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Then

(a) the $\mathfrak{K}G$ module E_s is irreducible for any simple reflection;

(b) the $\mathfrak{K}G$ modules E_J is irreducible if J is a maximal proper subset of the S. (Recall that S is the set of simple reflections of the Weyl group of G.)

4.2 The rest of this section is devoted to prove part (a) of the theorem above. Part (b) will be proved in next section. We number the reflections $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ and the the corresponding simple roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ as usual, so the Dynkin diagram of the root system R of G is as follows:



4.3 We first show that E_{s_1} and E_{s_n} are simple $\mathfrak{K}G$-modules. By symmetry, it is enough to prove that E_{s_1} is irreducible.

Let C_1 be the image in $E = E_{s_1}$ of $(1 - s_1)1_{tr}$. We have

$$Y_{\{s_1\}} = \{e,\ s_2,\ s_3s_2, \ldots,\ s_n \cdots s_3s_2\}.$$

Let $V_i = U_{s_1s_2\cdots s_i}$. Then $V_i = U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_i}U_{\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_i}\cdots U_{\alpha_i}$. By Lemma 2.7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \mathfrak{K}UC_1 + \mathfrak{K}Us_2C_1 + \mathfrak{K}Us_3s_2C_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{K}Us_n \ldots s_3s_2C_1 \\ &= \mathfrak{K}V_1C_1 + \mathfrak{K}V_2s_2C_1 + \mathfrak{K}V_3s_3s_2C_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{K}V_ns_n \ldots s_3s_2C_1. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We show that E is generated by any nonzero element in E so that E is irreducible. Let ξ be a nonzero element of E, Using formula (34) we see that

$$\xi = \sum_{u\in V_1} a_{1,u}uC_1 + \sum_{u\in V_2} a_{2,u}us_2C_1 + \cdots + \sum_{u\in V_n} a_{n,u}us_n \ldots s_3s_2C_1, \quad a_{i,u} \in \mathfrak{K}, \tag{35}$$

and only finitely many of the coefficients are nonzero.

By Lemma 2.3 we may assume that u is α_1-regular whenever $a_{1,u}$ is nonzero. Otherwise we replace ξ by suitable $y\xi$. Also we may choose m sufficiently large so that all $n_i = n_{s_i}$ are in G_{q^m}. By 2.4(e) we have the following result.

(a) If $a_{1,u} \neq 0$, then $n_1uC_1 = (v - 1)C_1$ for some $v \in V_1$.

Noting that the image of $(1 - s_1 - s_2 + s_1s_2 + s_2s_1 - s_1s_2s_1)1_{tr}$ in E is zero, we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_1s_2C_1 &= s_1s_2C_1 = s_2C_1 - C_1, \\ n_1s_i \cdots s_2C_1 &= s_i \cdots s_3s_1s_2C_1 = s_i \cdots s_2C_1 - C_1, \quad \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Using 2.1(a) and formula (36) one may verify the following claim.

(b) If $i \geq 2$, then there exists $y \in V_{i,q^m}$ such that

$$n_1us_i \ldots s_2C_1 = y(s_i \ldots s_2C_1 - C_1).$$

Set

$$M' = \mathfrak{K}G\xi, \quad \mathbb{X} = \sum_{x\in U_{q^m}} x, \quad \phi_i = \sum_{u\in V_i} a_{i,u}, \quad \phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_n.$$

Since $\mathbb{X}u = \mathbb{X}$ for any $u \in U_{q^m}$, Using (a) and (b) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{X}\xi - \mathbb{X}n_1\xi &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i \mathbb{X}s_i \cdots s_2 C_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \phi_i \mathbb{X}(s_i \cdots s_2 C_1 - C_1) \\ &= \phi \mathbb{X} C_1 \in M',\end{aligned}$$

here we understand that $s_i \cdots s_2 = e$ for $i = 1$. If $\phi \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{X}C_1$ is in M'. By Lemma 2.9 , $C_1 \in M'$, therefore $M' = \mathfrak{K}G\xi = \mathfrak{K}GC_1 = E$.

Assume that $\phi = 0$ but $a_{1,u_1} \neq 0$ for some $u_1 \in V_1$. Note that $n_1 C_1 = -C_1$. Using (a) and (b) we get

$$\mathbb{X}\xi - \mathbb{X}n_1 {u_1}^{-1}\xi = a_{1,u_1}\mathbb{X}C_1 \in M'.$$

Again, using Lemma 2.9 we see that $M' = \mathfrak{K}G\xi = \mathfrak{K}GC_1 = E$ in this case. We have proved the following result.

(c) Let ξ be a nonzero element in E of the form (35) such that $u \in V_1$ is α_1-regular if $a_{1,u} \neq 0$. Provided that $\phi \neq 0$ or $a_{1,u} \neq 0$ for some $u \in V_1$, then $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_s$.

Let i, j be integers such that $2 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then $n_i V_{j,q^m} n_i^{-1} = V_{j,q^m}$ and $s_{i+1}C_1 = C_1$. So we have the following result

(d) If $2 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $u \in V_{j,q^m}$, then

$$n_i u s_j \cdots s_2 C_1 = u' s_j \ldots s_2 C_1$$

for some $u' \in V_{j,q^m}$.

Now assume that all $a_{i',u} = 0$ whenever $1 \leq i' < i$ and some $a_{i,u_i} \neq 0$. By (d), 2.1(a), 2.4 (b), 2.4(c) and 2.4(d) we get

$$\zeta = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_i u_i^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u \in V_1} a'_{1,u} u C_1 + \sum_{u \in V_2} a'_{2,u} u s_2 C_1 + \cdots + \sum_{u \in V_n} a'_{n,u} u s_n s_{n-1} \ldots s_2 C_1,$$

where all $a'_{i,u}$ are in $\mathfrak{K}$ and $a'_{1,1} = a_{i,u_i} \neq 0$.

By Lemma 2.3, we may choose $y \in V_1$ such that yu is α_1-regular when $u \in V_1$ and $a'_{1,u} \neq 0$. By (c) we have $\mathfrak{K}Gy\zeta = E$. Therefore $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E$ in this case.

We have proved that E_{s_1} is irreducible. By symmetry, E_{s_n} is also irreducible.

4.4 Now we fix an integer i' such that $2 \leq i' \leq n-1$ and set $s = s_{i'}$. In this subsection we show that E_s is irreducible.

Using Lemma 2.7 to describe E_s it suffices to describe $Y = Y_{\{s\}}$. We set $m = i' - 1$ and $l = n - i'$. For convenience, denote by $r_j = s_{i'-j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $t_k = s_{i'+k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. The Dynkin diagram of W is as follows:



Lemma 4.5 Keep the notations above. Denote by $\sigma_i = r_i r_{i-1} \ldots r_2 r_1$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $\tau_j = t_j t_{j-1} \ldots t_2 t_1$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. (Convention: σ_0 and τ_0 are the neutral element e in W.) Then Y consists of following elements

$$\tau_{j_k} \sigma_{i_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_2} \sigma_{i_2} s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1}$$

where $m \geq i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_k \geq 0, l \geq j_1 > j_2 > \cdots > j_k \geq 0$.

Proof. Denote by Z the element listed in the lemma. We just need to prove $Y = Z$.

As the notation in 2.5, $X_J = \{x \in W \mid x \text{ has minimal length in } xW_J\}$ for a subset J of S. In this proof of the lemma, we always set $J = S \setminus \{s\}$. Using 2.1.1 proposition in [GP], we have $X_J = Ys \cup \{e\}$ and X_J is a left coset representatives of W_J in W.

Without lost of generality, we assume $m \leq l$. We denote by δ_J the following element

$$\delta_J = \tau_{l-m} s \tau_{l-m+1} \sigma_1 \ldots s \tau_{l-1} \sigma_{m-1} s \tau_l \sigma_m,$$

which is the longest element of Z. We show δ_J is also the longest element of Y. By easy computation, we know $l(\delta_J) = ml + m + l$. On the other hand, we can get

$$l(w_0) - l(w_J) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - (\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2}) = ml + m + l + 1.$$

It suffice to prove δ_J is in Y, then we can see $\delta_J s w_J = w_0$ and δ_J is the longest element of Y.

We regard the simple reflection s_j as exchanging the two letters j and $j+1$. Thus δ_J in Y means $\delta_J s$ in X_J which is equivalent to the following two conditions:

(a) $\delta_J s(i') > \delta_J s(i'+1)$.

(b) For $k \neq i'$, $\delta_J s(k) < \delta_J s(k+1)$.

Recall i' is a fixed integer and $l = n - i'$ as we mentioned before. By careful computation, we have the following result.

(c) For $k \leq i'$, $\delta_J s(k) = l + k + 1$.

(d) For $k \geq i'$, $\delta_J s(k) = k - i'$.

Then (c) and (d) imply $d_J s$ satisfy the two condition (a) and (b). Therefore d_J is the unique longest element in Y.

It is not difficult to see that for any $w \in Z$, $l(\delta_J w^{-1}) = l(\delta_J) - l(w)$. Using the 2.2.1 Lemma in [GP], we can get $Z \subseteq Y$.(Here we use left coset representative while [GP] used right coset representative.) On the other hand, by the Algorithm C (p.46) in [GP], we can see Z is exactly the set Y.

The lemma is proved. □

We will show that E_s is generated by any nonzero element in E_s so that E_s is irreducible. Let ξ be a nonzero element of E_s. By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\xi = \sum_{w \in Y} \sum_{x \in V_w} a_{w,x} x w C_s, \quad a_{w,x} \in \mathfrak{K}, \tag{37}$$

where $V_w = U_{sw^{-1}}$ and only finitely many of the coefficients are nonzero.

By Lemma 2.3 we can assume that x appears in ξ is $\Delta_{sw^{-1}}$-regular wherever $a_{w,x}$ is nonzero. Otherwise, we replace ξ by suitable $y\xi$. This process is called regularlization to ξ and we denote $R(\xi)$ for one element $y\xi = \sum_{w \in Y} \sum_{z \in V_w} a'_{w,z} z w C_s$ such that z appears in $y\xi$ is $\Delta_{sw^{-1}}$-regular wherever $a'_{w,z}$ is nonzero.

We may choose m sufficiently large so that $n_j = n_{s_j}$ are in G_{q^m}. In the following, we write $s_j x w C_s$ instead of $n_j x w C_s$ for convenience. Given simple reflections $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k$, we denote by

$$R(n_1 n_2 \ldots n_k \xi) = R(n_1(\ldots R(n_{k-1} R(n_k \xi)))).$$

We can also just denote it by $R(s_1 s_2 \ldots s_k \xi)$.

For convenience, we classify the elements in Y by the different endings of the elements in Y. For k a positive integer we denote by

$$A_k = \{\sigma_{i_k} s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} \mid m \geq i_1 > \dots > i_k > 0, l \geq j_1 > \dots > j_{k-1} > 0\}.$$

$$B_k = \{\tau_{j_k} s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} \mid m \geq i_1 > \dots > i_{k-1} > 0, l \geq j_1 > \dots > j_k > 0\}.$$

$$C_k = \{\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k} s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} \mid m \geq i_1 > \dots > i_k > 0, l \geq j_1 > \dots > j_k > 0\}.$$

$$D_{k+1} = \{s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} \mid m \geq i_1 > \dots > i_k > 0, l \geq j_1 > \dots > j_k > 0\}.$$

and D_1 consist of the neutral element e.

For convenience, we set

$$M' = \mathfrak{K}G\xi, \quad \mathbb{X} = \sum_{x \in U_{q^m}} x, \quad \phi_w = \sum_{x \in V_w} a_{w,x}.$$

We also denote by $\phi_{H_k} = \sum_{w \in H_k} \phi_w$ for $H = A,\ B,\ C,\ D$ and $\phi_k = \phi_{A_k} + \phi_{B_k} + \phi_{C_k} + \phi_{D_k}$.

(Step 1) With the assumption of ξ and by 2.4 (c), 2.4 (d), 2.4 (e), for $w \in Y, x \in V_w$ we have the following result.

(a)If $s_j w > w$, then $s_j x w C_s = x' s_j w C_s$ for some $x' \in U$.

(b)If $s_j w < w$, then $s_j x w C_s = x'' w C_s$ for some $x'' \in U$.

(c)For $s_j \neq s, r_1, t_1$, we get $s_j C_s = C_s$.

Denote by w for the following element

$$(r_m \dots r_1)(r_m \dots r_2) \dots (r_m r_{m-1}) r_m (t_l \dots t_1)(t_l \dots t_2) \dots (t_l t_{l-1}) t_l.$$

We consider the element $\zeta = R(w\xi)$. We set

$$w_c = (t_l \dots t_2 t_1 r_m \dots r_2 r_1)s.$$

Using (a), (b), (c) and the exchange relations of Weyl group, we can see ζ has the following form

$$\zeta = \sum_{k \leq min\{m,l\}} \sum_{x \in V_k} a_{k,u} x {w_c}^k (t_l \dots t_2 t_1 r_m \dots r_2 r_1) C_s. \tag{38}$$

The elements $(w_c)^k(t_l \dots t_1 r_m \dots r_1)$ may not be in Y. However we can express ζ of this form since we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6 The elements ${w_c}^{k+1}$ is a reduced expression for $k \leq \min\{m, l\}$.

Proof. We just need to prove ${w_c}^k$ is a reduced expression when $k = \min\{m, l\}+1$. For the convenience of computation, we go back to the notation of $\{s_j\}$. Without lost of generality, we assume $m \leq l$ which implies $n \geq 2m+1$. Let $w = {w_c}^m = ((s_n \dots s_{m+2})(s_1 \dots s_m)s_{m+1})^{m+1}$ and we want to prove w is a reduced expression. Since the length of w equals to the number of positive roots transformed by w into negative roots. We use this to prove the lemma by computation.

Let $s_i = (i, i+1)$ as exchanging two letters i and $i+1$. The positive roots can be written as $\{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid i < j\}$. Firstly, by computation we can see that

$$w_c(\varepsilon_i) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{i+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m. \\ \varepsilon_{n+1} & \text{if } i = m+1. \\ \varepsilon_1 & \text{if } i = m+2. \\ \varepsilon_{i-1} & \text{if } m+3 \leq i \leq n+1. \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

So it is not hard to compute $w(\varepsilon_i)$,

$$w(\varepsilon_i) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{n+2-i} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m+1. \\ \varepsilon_{2m+3-i} & \text{if } m+2 \leq i \leq 2m+2. \\ \varepsilon_{i-(m+1)} & \text{if } 2m+3 \leq i \leq n+1. \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

By above computation, when we compute the number of positive roots transformed by w into a negative roots ,we need to check it case by case. We consider a positive root $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ for $i < j$ and compute the number of pairs (i, j) such that $w(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)$ is a negative root.

(a) When $1 \leq i \leq m+1$.

When $1 \leq j \leq m+1$, then $i \leq j$ and $n+2-i \geq n+2-j$. The number of these pairs of (i, j) is $m(m+1)/2$.

When $m+2 \leq j \leq 2m+2$, then $i \leq j$ and $n+2-i \geq 2m+3-j$. The number of these pairs of (i, j) is $(m+1)^2$.

When $2m+3 \leq j \leq n+1$, then $i \leq j$ and $n+2-i \geq j-(m+1)$. The number of these pairs of (i,j) is $(n-2m-1)(m+1)$.

(b) When $m+2 \leq i \leq 2m+2$.

When $m+2 \leq j \leq 2m+2$, then $i \leq j$ and $2m+3-i \geq 2m+3-j$. The number of these pairs of (i,j) is $m(m+1)/2$.

When $2m+3 \leq j \leq n+1$, then $i \leq j$ and $2m+3-i \geq j-(m+1)$. Hence $j < 3m+2-i$ and it is a contradiction.There is no such pair of (i,j).

(c) When $i \geq 2m+3$, then $j \geq 2m+3$. There is no such pair of (i,j).

Combining (a), (b) and (c), the number of positive roots transformed by w into negative roots is

$$\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + (m+1)^2 + (n-2m-1)(m+1) + \frac{m(m+1)}{2} = n(m+1).$$

This number is also the length of w and the lemma is proved. □

(Step 2) Keep the notation of w_c. The element ζ in Step 1 is of the formula (38).

We consider the element $R({w_c}^h\zeta)$ for some integer h. By 2.4 (d), if we use $\mathbb{X}$ multiply on $R({w_c}^h\zeta)$, we can see that the bigger h is, the more elements in ζ will be killed. This phenomenon can be easy to see in the case of type G_2 in subsection 3.5. Moreover we can consider the element $R((t_i \dots t_2 t_1 r_j \dots r_2 r_1 s){w_c}^h\zeta)$ for some integers i,j,h. By the same philosophy we can see the following result.

If ϕ_k is nonzero for some integer k, then $C_s \in M'$ which implies $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_s$.

In the following, we assume ϕ_k is zero for all $k \geq 1$.

(Step 3) In this step, when we consider xwC_s we assume $x \in U$ is an $\Delta_{sw^{-1}}$-regular element. Using the result in subsection 2.4, the following result is easy to see. The notations $\sigma_i = r_i \dots r_2 r_1$ and $\tau_j = t_j \dots t_2 t_1$ are as before.

(a) We have $suC_s = (x-1)C_S$ for some $x \in U$. $su\sigma_i C_s = y(\sigma_i C_s - C_s)$ for some $y \in U$. $su\tau_j C_s = z(\tau_j C_s - C_s)$ for some $z \in U$.

(b) We compute suA_k for $k \geq 2$ and we have

$$su\sigma_{i_k}s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s = u'\sigma_{i_k}s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s$$

for some $u' \in U$.

(c) We compute suB_k for $k \geq 2$ and we have

$$su\tau_{j_k}s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s = u''\tau_{j_k}s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s$$

for some $u'' \in U$.

(d) We compute suC_k for $k \geq 2$ and we have

$$su\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s = u^*s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s$$

for some $u^* \in U$.

(e) We compute suD_k for $k \geq 2$ and we have

$$sus\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s = u^{**}s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}\dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}C_s$$

for some $u^{**} \in U$.

As the notation before, m, l is the number of reflections $\{r_i\}$ and $\{t_j\}$. If $m = l$ then there exists a maximal integer h such that D_h is nonempty but A_h, B_h, C_h are all empty. If $m > n$ then there exists a maximal integer h such that A_h, D_h are nonempty but B_h, C_h are both empty. If $m < n$ then there exists a maximal integer h such that B_h, D_h are nonempty but A_h, C_h are both empty.

In each case above, we fix such an integer h and consider $s\xi$. Using (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) we can see

$$suA_k \subseteq UA_k, \quad suB_k \subseteq UB_k, \quad suD_k \subseteq UD_k \ \text{ for } k \geq 2$$

while $suC_k \subseteq UC_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$. Using the result in step 2 that ϕ_k nonzero implies $M' = E_s$, then we see that if ϕ_{C_h} is nonzero we have $M' = E_s$. Moreover by the same reason we can see the following result.

(f) If ϕ_{C_k} is nonzero for any $k \geq 1$ we have $M' = E_s$.

In the following, we assume ϕ_{C_k} and ϕ_k are zero. Otherwise we have $M' = E_s$.

(Step 4) Assume that $a_{e,x_0} \neq 0$ of $\xi = \sum_{w \in Y} \sum_{x \in V_w} a_{w,x} xwC_s$ for some $x_0 \in U_{\alpha_s}$. Using 2.1(a) and 2.4 (d), we observe that if $x_\alpha = 1$ then $sxD_k \subset UC_{k-1}$. Otherwise, $sxD_k \subset UD_k$, where $k \geq 2$. Let

$$sx_0{}^{-1}\xi = \sum_{w \in Y} \sum_{x \in V_w} a'_{w,x} xwC_s.$$

Using (a) in step (3), we obtain $\phi_{A_1} + \phi_{B_1} + \phi_{D_1} = -a_{e,x_0}$ which is nonzero. Using the result in step 2 and (f) in step 3 we can get that $M' = E_s$.

(Step 5) In this step, we compute the form of $t_1 xwC_s$ without any assumption of $x \in U$. Using 2.4 (c), 2.4 (d) and 2.4 (e) we can have the following results.

(a) We compute the form of $t_1 uA_k$. In following equations, u' is some element in U.

(a1) When $k = 1$, then $t_1 ur_i \dots r_1 C_s = u' t_1 r_i \dots r_1 C_s$.

(a2) When $k = 2$ we have following two cases.
If $j_1 \geq 2$ then $t_1 u\sigma_{i_2} s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s = u' t_1 \sigma_{i_2} s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s$.
If $j_1 = 1$ then $t_1 u\sigma_{i_2} s t_1 \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u'(\sigma_{i_2} s t_1 \sigma_{i_1} C_s - t_1 \sigma_{i_2} C_s + \sigma_{i_2} C_s)$

(a3) When $k \geq 3$ we also have following two cases.
If $j_{k-1} \geq 2$ then

$$t_1 u\sigma_{i_k} s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s = u' t_1 \sigma_{i_k} s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s.$$

If $j_{k-1} = 1$ then

$$t_1 u\sigma_{i_k} s t_1 \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s = u' \sigma_{i_k} s t_1 \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s.$$

(b) We compute the form of $t_1 uB_k$.

(b1) If $j_k \geq 2$ or $u_{\alpha_{t_1}} \neq 1$ then we have

$$t_1 u\tau_{j_k} s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s = u' \tau_{j_k} s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some $u' \in U$.

(b2) If $j_k = 1$ and $u_{\alpha_{t_1}} = 1$ then

$$t_1 u t_1 s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s = u'' s\tau_{j_{k-1}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s\tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some $u'' \in U$

(c) We compute the form of $t_1 u C_k$.

(c1) If $j_k \geq 2$ or $u_{\alpha_{t_1}} \neq 1$we have

$$t_1 u \tau_{j_k} \sigma_{i_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u' \tau_{j_k} \sigma_{i_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some $u' \in U$.

(c2) If $j_k = 1$ and $u_{\alpha_{t_1}} = 1$ then

$$t_1 u t_1 \sigma_{i_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u'' \sigma_{i_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some $u'' \in U$.

(d) We compute the form of $t_1 u D_k$. The result is very similar to (a) and we assume that u^* is some element in U.

(d1) When $k = 1$, then $t_1 u C_s = u^* t_1 C_s$.

(d2) When $k = 2$ we have following two cases.
If $j_1 \geq 2$ then $t_1 u s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u^* t_1 s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$.
If $j_1 = 1$ then $t_1 u s t_1 \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u^* (s t_1 \sigma_{i_1} C_s - t_1 C_s + C_s)$.

(d3) When $k \geq 3$ we also have following two cases:
If $j_k \geq 2$ then

$$t_1 u s \tau_{j_k} \sigma_{i_k} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u^* t_1 s \tau_{j_k} \sigma_{i_k} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s.$$

If $j_k = 1$ then

$$t_1 u s \tau_{j_k} \sigma_{i_k} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u^* s \tau_{j_k} \sigma_{i_k} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s.$$

(Step 6) In this step, we compute the form of $t_i x w C_s$ for $i \geq 2$ without any assumption of $x \in U$. It is easy to note that we just need to compute $t_i u B_k$. The form of of $t_i u A_k, t_i u C_k, t_i u D_k$ can be obtained from $t_i u B_k$.

When we consider the following form

$$t_i u \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

we have the following results by 2.4 (c), 2.4 (d), 2.4 (e).

(a) If $i > j_h + 1$ for all $h = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ then

$$t_i u \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u' \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some element $u' \in U$.

Otherwise, there is an integer a such that $j_{a+1} + 1 < i \leq j_a + 1$. Without lot of generality, we can assume $i \leq j_k + 1$.

(b) If $i = j_k + 1$ and $j_{k-1} > j_k + 1$ (including the case $k = 1$) then

$$t_i u \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = u'' \tau_{j_k+1} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some element $u'' \in U$.

(c) If $i = j_k + 1$ and $j_{k-1} = j_k + 1$ then we have two cases:

(c1) When $k \geq 3$ then

$$t_i u \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = x \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some element $x \in U$.

(c2) When $k = 2$ then

$$t_{j_2+1} u \tau_{j_2} s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = x' (\tau_{j_2} s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s - \tau_{j_1} C_s + \tau_{j_2} C_s)$$

for some element $x' \in U$.

(d) If $i = j_k$ then we also have two cases:

(d1) When $u_{\alpha_{t_{j_k}}} \neq 1$ then

$$t_i u \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = y \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some element $y \in U$.

(d2) When $u_{\alpha_{t_{j_k}}} = 1$ then

$$t_i u \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = y' \tau_{j_k-1} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some element $y' \in U$.

(e) If $i < j_k$ then

$$t_i u \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s = z \tau_{j_k} s \tau_{j_{k-1}} \sigma_{i_{k-1}} \ldots s \tau_{j_1} \sigma_{i_1} C_s$$

for some element $z \in U$.

(Step 7) We can also compute the form of $r_iuA_k, r_iuB_k, r_iuC_k, r_iuD_k$ which is similar to the result in (Step 5) and (Step 6). These computations seem to be complicated. However using these results in step 5 and step 6, we can have the following conclusion which is very important to our proof later.

Suppose t is a reflection in $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_l\}$. Let $w \in Y$ and x be a general element in U.

If $l(tw) = l(t) + l(w)$ then $txwC_s$ can only have three cases.

(a) We have $txwC_s = utwC_s$ for some element $u \in U$.

(b) We have $txwC_s = ywC_s$ for some element $y \in U$.

(c) We have $txwC_s = z(wC_s - w_1C_s + w_2C_s)$ for some element $z \in U$, $w_1, w_2 \in W$ where w_1, w_2 satisfy $l(w_1), l(w_2) < l(w)$.

If $l(tw) = l(w) - l(t)$ then $txwC_s$ can only have two cases.

(d) When $x_{\alpha_t} = 1$ we have $txwC_s = x'twC_s$ for some $x' \in U$.

(e) When $x_{\alpha_t} \neq 1$ we have $txwC_s = x''wC_s$ for some $x'' \in U$.

(Step 8) As many things we prepare in the steps before we can prove part (1) of Theorem 4.1. Let ξ be a nonzero element in E_s of the formula (37). Using the observation in (Step 4), we just need to prove that if all $a_{w,x} = 0$ for all $l(w) < d$ but for some w_1 and $l(w_1) = d$ such that some $a_{w_1,x}$ are nonzero then we can construct an new element

$$\zeta = \sum_{w \in Y} \sum_{x \in V_w} a'_{w,x} xwC_s \quad \in \mathfrak{K}G\xi$$

such that some $a'_{w_2,x}$ are nonzero where $l(w_2) < d$.

Using result in (Step 3) and (Step7), this is not difficult to prove by induction. As in the assumption, let ξ be a nonzero element of the formula (37) and we can assume that x appears in ξ is $\Delta_{sw^{-1}}$-regular wherever $a_{w,x}$ is nonzero as before. According to the inductive hypothesis, we assume all $a_{w,x} = 0$ for all $l(w) < d$ but for some w_1 and $l(w_1) = d$ such that a_{w_1,x_1} is nonzero.

Let t be a reflection in $\{s, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_l\}$ such that $l(tw) = l(w) - l(t)$ and $tw \in Y$. We use the same method in subsection 3.4. By the

results in step 3 and step7 we know that if $tu_1{}^{-1}\xi$ satisfies our requirement then we can let $\zeta = tu_1{}^{-1}\xi$. Otherwise, there exists one element $u^* \in U_{\alpha_t}$ such that $u^*u_{\alpha_t} \neq 1$ for $u \in V_{w_1}$, $a_{w_1,u} \neq 0$ and moreover, this element can make $tu^*\xi$ satisfy our requirement.

Since $\zeta \in \mathfrak{K}G\xi$, by induction if we prove $E_s = \mathfrak{K}G\zeta$ then $E_s = \mathfrak{K}G\xi$.

Now we complete the proof and obtain E_s is irreducible for any simple reflections. □

5 type A case, II

In this section we give the proof of part (2) in Theorem 4.1. We prove that $\mathfrak{K}G$ modules E_J is irreducible if J is a maximal proper subset of the S (the set of simple reflections of the Weyl group of G).

5.1 As in the subsection 4.2, we number the reflections $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ and the the corresponding simple roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ as usual. The Dynkin diagram of the root system R of G is as follows:



Firstly we consider the special case $J = \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ and prove E_J is irreducible. We show that E_J is generated by any nonzero element in E_J so that E_J is irreducible. Let C_J be the image of η_J in E_J. We have

$$Y_J = \{e,\ s_1,\ s_2s_1,\ \ldots,\ s_{n-1}\ldots s_2s_1\}.$$

Denote by $V_i = U_{w_J s_1 \ldots s_{i-1}}$. By Lemma 2.7 we get

$$E_J = \mathfrak{K}V_1C_J + \mathfrak{K}V_2s_1C_J + \mathfrak{K}V_3s_2s_1C_J + \cdots + \mathfrak{K}V_ns_{n-1}\ldots s_2s_1C_J. \quad (41)$$

Let ξ be a nonzero element of E_J. Then using formula (41) we have

$$\xi = \sum_{u\in V_1} a_{1,u}uC_J + \sum_{u\in V_2} a_{2,u}us_1C_J + \cdots + \sum_{u\in V_n} a_{n,u}us_{n-1}\ldots s_2s_1C_J, \quad (42)$$

where $a_{i,u} \in \mathfrak{K}$ and only finitely many of them are nonzero.

By Lemma 2.3 we can assume each u appears in ξ is $\Delta_{w_J s_1 s_2 \ldots s_{i-1}}$-regular wherever $a_{i,u}$ is nonzero. Otherwise we replace ξ by suitable $y\xi$. In this case

we also say that ξ is regular. Also we may choose m sufficiently large so that all $n_i = n_{s_i}$ are in G_{q^m}.

For convenience, we can just write $s_i x w C_J$ instead of $n_i x w C_J$ for $x \in U$. With the assumption of ξ, using subsection 2.4 the following results (a)-(c) are not difficult to see.

(a) When $i = j-1$, we get $s_j u s_{j-1} \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in U s_j \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$.

(b) When $i = j$, we get $s_j u s_j \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in U s_j \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$.

(c) When $i \neq j-1$ and $i \neq j$, then $s_j u s_i \dots s_2 s_1 C_J = (x-y) s_i \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$ for some $x, y \in U$.

Set

$$M' = \mathfrak{K} G \xi, \quad \mathbb{X} = \sum_{x \in U_{q^m}} x, \quad \phi_{i,h} = \sum_{u \in V_i} h_{i,u} \ \text{ for } h = a,\ a',\ b.$$

Using (a), (b), (c) we have

$$\mathbb{X} s_n \xi = \phi_{n,a} \mathbb{X} s_n \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in M'$$

If $\phi_{n,a} \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.9 then $s_n \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in M'$ and $M' = E_J$.

Using (a), (b), (c) we have

$$\mathbb{X} s_j \xi = (\phi_{j,a} + \phi_{j+1,a}) \mathbb{X} s_j \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in M'$$

If $\phi_{j,a} + \phi_{j+1,a} \neq 0$, also by Lemma 2.9, then $s_j \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in M$ which implies $M' = E_J$. Therefore we know that if $\phi_{i,a} \neq 0$ for some integer i, then $M' = E_J$.

To deal with the case $\phi_{i,a} = 0$ for all i. We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.2 Let I be any subset of S and $u \in U_{w_I}$. If u is not the neutral element 1, then the sum of all coefficients of $w_I u C_I$ in terms the basis $z C_I,\ z \in U$, is 0.

Proof. The argument of [Yang, Lemma 2.5] can also be used here and the proof is similar. □

Lemma 5.3 Let $x \in U_{q^m}$ and fix the element $w^* = s_i \dots s_2 s_1$ for some integer $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. We write

$$w_J x w^* C_J = \sum_{u \in V_1} b_{1,u} u C_J + \sum_{u \in V_2} b_{2,u} u s_1 C_J + \cdots + \sum_{u \in V_n} b_{n,u} u s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$$

then we get $\phi_{1,b} + \phi_{2,b} = 0$.

Proof. Firstly, we compute the form of $s_j u s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$, where $j \geq 2, k \geq 1$. Using subsection 2.4, we have the following results.

(d) When $j < k$, then $s_j u s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in \mathfrak{K} U s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$. (The specific form depends on whether u_{α_j} is the neutral element 1.)

(e) When $j = k$, if $u_{\alpha_j} = 1$, then $s_j u s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J = u' s_{k-1} \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$ for some $u' \in U$. If $u_{\alpha_j} \neq 1$, then $s_j u s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J = u'' s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$ for some $u'' \in U$.

(f) When $j = k+1$, then $s_j u s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J = x s_{k+1} s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$ for some $x \in U$.

(g) When $j > k+1$, then $s_j u s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in \mathfrak{K} U s_k \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$.

Using (d), (e), (f), (g), we can get that

$$s_2 s_3 \dots s_n x w^* C_J \in \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} \mathfrak{K} U s_i \dots s_2 s_1 C_J.$$

Since

$$\eta_S = C_J - s_1 C_J + s_2 s_1 C_J - \cdots + (-1)^n s_n \dots s_2 s_1 C_J$$

and the image of η_S is zero in E_J, we can see

$$s_2 s_3 \dots s_n x w^* C_J = \sum_{u \in U} b'_u u (C_J - s_1 C_J) + \sum_{3 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{u \in V_i} b'_{i,u} u s_{i-1} \dots s_2 s_1 C_J. \quad (43)$$

Let $K = \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ be the subset of S. Then it is easy to see $w_J = w_K s_2 s_3 \dots s_n$. Also using (d), (e), (f), (g), we have that $w_K u C_J \in \mathfrak{K} U C_J$, $w_K u s_1 C_J \in \mathfrak{K} U s_1 C_J$ and

$$w_K u s_j \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \in \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} \mathfrak{K} U s_i \dots s_2 s_1 C_J \quad \text{for } j \geq 2. \quad (44)$$

Therefore it is not difficult to see $w_J x w C_J$ satisfies our requirement in the lemma. The lemma is proved. □

Using the two lemmas, we can deal with the case $\phi_{i,a} = 0$ for all i.

Suppose $a_{1,u_1} \neq 0$ for some $u_1 \in V_1$ and we consider ${w_J u_1}^{-1}\xi$. Let

$$ {w_J u_1}^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u\in V_1} a'_{1,u} u C_J + \sum_{u\in V_2} a'_{2,u} u s_1 C_J + \cdots + \sum_{u\in V_n} a'_{n,u} u s_{n-1}\ldots s_2 s_1 C_J. $$

Then by Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, we can see $\phi_{1,a'} + \phi_{2,a'} = (-1)^{l(w_J)} a_{1,u_1}$. In this case, we have show $M' = E_J$.

Suppose all $a_{1,u}$ are zero but $a_{2,u_2} \neq 0$ for some u_2. Using (d) and (e) we have

$$ {s_1 u_2}^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u\in V_1} a''_{1,u} u C_J + \sum_{u\in V_2} a''_{2,u} u s_1 C_J + \cdots + \sum_{u\in V_n} a''_{n,u} u s_{n-1}\ldots s_2 s_1 C_J $$

and $a''_{1,1} = a_{2,u_2}$ is nonzero which implies $M' = E_J$.

Now suppose there exists an integer k such that all $a_{j,u}$ for $j < k$ but $a_{k,u_k} \neq 0$ for some u_k. Also using (d) and (e) we have

$$ {s_{k-1} u_k}^{-1}\xi = \sum_{u\in V_{k-1}} a^*_{k-1,u} u s_{k-1}\ldots s_2 s_1 C_J + \cdots + \sum_{u\in V_n} a^*_{n,u} u s_{n-1}\ldots s_2 s_1 C_J $$

Thus we can deal with this case by induction and prove that $M' = E_J$.

Therefore E_J is irreducible. The theorem is proved. By symmetry, E_I is irreducible for $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ a proper subset of S.

5.4 Now we consider the general case. Fix an integer i' such that $2 \leq i' \leq n-1$ and set $s = s_{i'}$. Let $J = S \setminus \{s\}$ be a proper subset of S. In this section we prove E_J is irreducible.

As the notation in 4.4, set $m = i' - 1$ and $l = n - i'$ and denote by $r_j = s_{i'-j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $t_k = s_{i'+k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. The Dynkin diagram of W is as follows:



As the notation in 2.5, Let $Y_J = \{z \in X_J \mid R(zw_J) = J\}$. In our special case that J is a proper subset of S, we have $Y_J = X_J \setminus \{h_J\}$ where $h_J = w_0 w_J$ is the longest element in X_J.

Let $Y = Y_{\{s\}}$ be the subset of the Weyl group W which has a description in Lemma 4.5. Thus we have $Y_J = (Ys \backslash \{h_J\}) \cup \{e\}$ where e is the neutral element in W. Denote by d_J the unique element whose length is maximal in Y_J.

We show that E_J is generated by any nonzero element in E_J so that E_J is irreducible. Let ξ be a nonzero element of E_J. We denote by $V_w = U_{w_J w^{-1}}$. Using Lemma 2.7 we have

$$\xi = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \sum_{x \in V_w} a_{w,x} x w C_J, \quad a_{w,x} \in \mathfrak{K} \tag{45}$$

and only finitely many of the coefficients are nonzero.

By Lemma 2.3 we can assume that x appears in ξ is $\Delta_{w_J w^{-1}}$-regular wherever $a_{w,x}$ is nonzero. Otherwise, we replace ξ by suitable $y\xi$. We can say that ξ is regular for simply in this case. We can choose m sufficiently large so that all $x \in U_{q^m}$ if $a_{w,x} \neq 0$. We also use the definition of regularlization given in subsection 4.4.

In subsection 4.4, we classify the elements of $Y_{\{s\}}$ by different endings. We can do the same thing to Y_J and let $A'_k = A_k s \bigcap Y_J,\ B'_k = B_k s \bigcap Y_J,\ C'_k = C_k s \bigcap Y_J,\ D'_k = D_k s \bigcap Y_J$. For convenience we write $A_k,\ B_k,\ C_k,\ D_k$ instead of $A'_k,\ B'_k,\ C'_k,\ D'_k$. Then $\{e\},\ A_k,\ B_k,\ C_k,\ D_k$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, h$ give a partition of Y_J where h is the maximal integer such that $A_h,\ B_h,\ C_h,\ D_h$ are nonzero.

Set

$$M' = \mathfrak{K} G\xi, \quad \mathbb{X} = \sum_{x \in U_{q^m}} x, \quad \phi_{w,a} = \sum_{x \in V_w} a_{w,x}.$$

We also use the notation

$$\sigma_i = r_i r_{i-1} \ldots r_2 r_1, \quad \tau_j = t_j t_{j-1} \ldots t_2 t_1, \quad w_c = r_m \ldots r_2 r_1 t_l \ldots t_2 t_1 s$$

as before.

(Step 1) We consider the element $R((r_m \ldots r_2 r_1)(t_l \ldots t_2 t_1)s\xi)$ and denote this element by ξ'. Using 2,4 (c), 2.4 (d), 2.4 (e) and the definition of regularlization $R(\xi)$ of ξ, we have the following results.

(a) We compute sxA_kC_J(resp. $sx'B_kC_J$). By 2.4 (e), we know $sxwC_J = (y - z)wC_J$ for some $y, z \in U$ where $w \in A_k$. (resp. $sx'w'C_J = (y' - z')wC_J$ for some $y', z' \in U$ where $w' \in B'_k$.)

(b) Using 2.1 (a) and 2.4 (c), we know $sxC_kC_J \subseteq UD_{k+1}C_J \cup Uh_JC_J$ where h_J is the unique longest element in X_J.

(c) Using 2.4 (d), we know $sxD_kC_J \subseteq UD_kC_J$.

If we use $\mathbb{X}$ multiply on ξ', we can see the coefficients $a_{w,x}$ will be killed for $w \in A_k \cup B_k$ by (a). Using (b) and (c), it suffice to consider the form of sUD_kC_J.

Let $s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}s \dots \tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}s$ be one element in D_k for $k \geq 2$.(Note D_1 just consist of s.) Using 2.1(a), 2.4(c), 2.4(e), we can see

(d) $r_{i_k}x\tau_{j_k-1}\sigma_{i_k-1}s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}s \dots \tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}sC_J$
$= (y-z)\tau_{j_k-1}\sigma_{i_k-1}s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}s \dots \tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}sC_J$
for some $x, y, z \in U$.

(e) $t_{j_k}x'\tau_{j_k-1}\sigma_{i_k-1}s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}s \dots \tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}sC_J$
$= (y'-z')\tau_{j_k-1}\sigma_{i_k-1}s\tau_{j_k}\sigma_{i_k}s \dots \tau_{j_1}\sigma_{i_1}sC_J$
for some $x', y', z' \in U$.

If we use $\mathbb{X}$ multiply on ξ', we can see the coefficients $a_{w,x}$ will be killed for $w \in C_k$ $(k \geq 1)$ and $w \in D_k$ $(k \geq 2)$ by (d), (e).

On the other hand, We have following result by 2.4(c), 2.4(d).

(f) $w_cxC_J \in Uw_cC_J$ and $w_cxsC_J \in Uw_cC_J$ where $x \in U$ is α-regular.

Then we have

$$\mathbb{X}\xi' = (\phi_{e,a} + \phi_{s,a})\mathbb{X}w_cC_J.$$

By Lemma 2.9, if $\phi_{e,a} + \phi_{s,a} \neq 0$, then $w_cC_J \in M'$ which implies $M' = E_J$.

(Step 2) Let $r \in \{s, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m, t_1, t_2, \dots, r_l\}$ be a simple reflection. Using 2.4 (c), 2.4 (d), 2.4 (e) we can compute the form of $rxwC_J$, where $w \in Y_J$.

(a) When $l(rw) < l(w)$. If $x_{\alpha_r} = 1$ then $rxwC_J = x'rwC_J$ for some $x' \in U$. If $x_{\alpha_r} \neq 1$, then $rxwC_J = x''wC_J$ for some $x'' \in U$.

(b) When $l(rww_J) > l(ww_J)$, then $rxwC_J = x^*rwC_J$ for some $x^* \in U$. Note that when d_J is the unique element whose length is maximal in Y_J, then rd_JC_J is the alternating sum of $\{wC_J \mid w \in Y_J\}$.

(c) When $l(rw) > l(w)$ but $l(rww_J) < l(ww_J)$. If $x_{\alpha_r} \neq 1$, then $rxwC_J = (y-z)wC_J$ for some $y, z \in U$. If $x_{\alpha_r} = 1$, then $rxwC_J = uwC_J$ for some $u \in U$.

(Step 3) As before d_J is the unique element whose length is maximal in Y_J. we compute the form of ${d_J}^{-1}xwC_J$, where $w \in Y_J$ and x is a general element in V_w. We give a claim:

The element ${d_J}^{-1}xwC_J$ has a expression form

$$ {d_J}^{-1}xwC_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \sum_{x \in V_w} b_{w,x} xwC_J + \sum_{x \in U} b_x x h_J C_J \tag{46} $$

such that the coefficients $b_{w_c,u}$ are zero where $w_c = r_m \dots r_2 r_1 t_l \dots t_2 t_1 s$.

Suppose the claim is not true, then the unique case may happen is $w = d_J$. Indeed, if $w_c C_J$ appears in the expression form of ${d_J}^{-1}xwC_J$ then sw_cC_J has to appear in the expression form of $s{d_J}^{-1}xwC_J$ by the results in step 2.

By (b) in step 2, we know $\sigma_{m-1}\tau_{l-1}sw_cC_J$ has to appear in the expression form of $\sigma_{m-1}\tau_{l-1}s{d_J}^{-1}xwC_J$. Using (c) in step 2, we know $\sigma_{m-1}\tau_{l-1}sw_cC_J$ has to appear in the expression form of $\sigma_m\tau_l s{d_J}^{-1}xwC_J$. Using the results in step 2 repeatedly, we can see if w_cC_J appears in the expression form of ${d_J}^{-1}xwC_J$ then d_JC_J has to appear in xwC_J which implies $w = d_J$.

Next we consider the expression form of ${d_J}^{-1}xd_JC_J$. We write ${d_J}^{-1}xd_JC_J$ as the formula (46). We pay attention on the number of s occur in a reduced expression of $w \in Y_J$. Noting the number of s occur in $w \in Y_J$ which we denote by $l'(w)$ is independent of the reduced expression of w so it is well-defined.

Using the results in subsection 2.4, we compute the form of $sxwC_J$ and have following results.

(a) If $l(sww_J) > l(ww_J)$ then $sxwC_J \in UswC_J$ and $l'(sw) > l'(w)$.

(b) If $l(sw) < l(w)$ and $x_{\alpha_s} \neq 1$ then $sxwC_J \in UwC_J$. If $l(sw) > l(w)$ and $l(sww_J) < l(ww_J)$ then $sxwC_J \in \mathfrak{K}UwC_J$.

(c) If $l(sw) < l(w)$ and $x_{\alpha_s} = 1$ then $sxwC_J \in UswC_J$ and $l'(sw) < l'(w)$.

Suppose w_cC_J appear in the expression form of ${d_J}^{-1}xd_JC_J$. Then by (a), (b), (c), the number of s will be killed is $l'(d_J) - 1$ and only one s leaves.

Since s being killed only in the case of (c), the only s leaves is the one in the right ending of d_J. However we can not get w_c in this case. We get a contradiction. The claim is proved.

(Step 4) In this step, we give a lemma which is useful later.

Lemma 5.5 Let $u \in U_{q^m}$ and w be some element in Y_J such that $w \neq e$ and $w \neq w_c$. We write

$$w_J u w C_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \sum_{x \in V_w} b_{w,x} x w C_J \tag{47}$$

and then $\phi_{e,b} + \phi_{s,b} = 0$.

Proof. Let $K = \{r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_m, t_2, t_3, \ldots, t_l\}$ be the subset of S, then $w_J = w_K r_1 r_2 \ldots r_m t_1 t_2 \ldots t_l$. We denote by Z the subset of Y_J consist of A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k for $k \geq 2$. Using the result in step 2, we know that s can not be killed by $w_c s = r_1 r_2 \ldots r_m t_1 t_2 \ldots t_l$. Then we have the following results.

(a) For $w \in Z$, we have $w_c s x w C_J \in \sum_{w \in Z} \mathfrak{K} U w C_J + \mathfrak{K} U h_J C_J$ where h_J is the longest element in X_J.

(b) For $w \in Y_J \backslash Z$ but $w \neq e$ and $w \neq w_c$, we have $w_c s x w C_J \in \sum_{l(w) \geq 2} \mathfrak{K} U w C_J$.

(c) For $w = e$, we have $w_c s x C_J \in \mathfrak{K} U C_J$.

(d) For $w = w_c$, we have $w_c s x w C_J \in \sum_{l(w) \geq 2} \mathfrak{K} U w C_J$ or $\mathfrak{K} U s C_J$.

Using (a), (b), (c), (d) and noting that $h_J C_J$ is the alternating sum of $\{wC_J \mid w \in Y_J\}$, we can have the following result. Let $\zeta = w_c s u w C_J$, if $w \neq e$ and $w \neq w_c$, then

$$\zeta = \sum_{x \in U} b'_x x (C_J - s C_J) + \sum_{l(w) \geq 2} \sum_{x \in V_w} b'_{w,x} x w C_J. \tag{48}$$

Using the result in subsection 2.4, it is easy to see for any $r \in K$, we get following results.

(e) If $x_{\alpha_r} = 1$, then $rx(C_J - sC_J) = x'(C_J - sC_J)$ for some $x' \in U$.

(f) If $x_{\alpha_r} \neq 1$, then $rx(C_J - sC_J) = (y - z)(C_J - sC_J)$ for some $y, z \in U$.

Using the result in step 2, then we have

(g) If $l(w) \geq 2$, then $rxwC_J \in \sum_{l(w)\geq 2} \mathfrak{K}UwC_J$.

Using (e), (f), (g), $r\zeta$ also has the same expression of formula (48) for $r \in K$. Therefore by $w_J = w_K r_1 r_2 \dots r_m t_1 t_2 \dots t_l$, when we write $w_J u w C_J$ as the formula (47) we have $\phi_{e,b} + \phi_{s,b} = 0$. The lemma is proved. □

(Step 5) Using the results in step 3 and step 4, we compute the form of ${w_J d_J}^{-1} x w C_J$, where $w \in Y_J$ and x is a general element in V_w.

We write

$$ {d_J}^{-1} x w C_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \sum_{x \in V_w} d_{w,x} x w C_J + \sum_{x \in U} d_x x h_J C_J. \tag{49}$$

Using the results in step 2, we can see when $w \neq d_J$, then there exists a expression form of ${d_J}^{-1} x w C_J$ like the formula (49) such that all $d_{e,x}$ are zero. Using the results in step 3, we can also have all $d_{w_c,x}$ are all zero, where $w_c = r_m \dots r_2 r_1 t_l \dots t_2 t_1 s$.

We write

$$ w_J \sigma^{-1} x w C_J = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \sum_{x \in V_w} h_{w,x} x w C_J. \tag{50}$$

By the Lemma 5.5 of step 4, we can see if $w \neq d_J$ then $\phi_{e,h} + \phi_{s,h} = 0$.

Next we deal with the case $w = d_J$. Using the result in step 2 and step 3. We have the following results.

(a) If $w = d_J$ and $x = 1$, then ${w_J d_J}^{-1} x d_J C_J = (-1)^{l(w_J)} C_J$.

(b) If $w = d_J$ and $x \neq 1$, we also write ${d_J}^{-1} x d_J C_J$ of the formula (49). Then $d_{e,1} = 0$ and $d_{w_1,x}$ are all zero. We write ${w_J d_J}^{-1} x d_J C_J$ as the formula of (50). By the Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.5, we have $\phi_{e,h} + \phi_{s,h} = 0$.

In conclusion, If $w = d_J$ and $x = 1$, then ${w_J d_J}^{-1} x d_J C_J = (-1)^{l(w_J)} C_J$. For other case, ${w_J d_J}^{-1} x w C_J$ has a expression form as the formula (50) such that $\phi_{e,h} + \phi_{s,h} = 0$.

(Step 6) Now assume the coefficient a_{d_J,x_0} of ξ is nonzero for some $x_0 \in U$. We write

$$ w_J {d_J}^{-1} {x_0}^{-1} \xi = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \sum_{x \in V_w} a'_{w,x} x w C_J. $$

Using the results in step 5, we can see $\phi_{e,a'} + \phi_{s,a'} = (-1)^{l(w_J)} a_{d_J,x_0} C_J$.

By the results in step 1, in this case, we have $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_J$.

Now we assume all coefficient $a_{d_J,x}$ of ξ are zero. Since ξ is nonzero, using the results in step 2 we can construct a new element η such that $\eta \in \mathfrak{K}G\xi$ and moreover,

$$\eta = \sum_{w \in Y_J} \sum_{x \in V_w} a^*_{w,x} xwC_J$$

satisfies not all $a^*_{d_J,x}$ are zero. Thus $\mathfrak{K}G\eta = E_J$ which implies $\mathfrak{K}G\xi = E_J$

Therefore, E_J is irreducible. Theorem 4.1 is proved.

6 Some questions

Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 suggest the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1 Let G be a connected reductive group over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and S the set of simple reflections of the Weyl group of G. Assume that $\operatorname{char} \mathfrak{K} \neq \operatorname{char} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Then the $\mathfrak{K}G$-module E_J defined in [Xi, 2.6] (see also subsection 2.5 this paper) is irreducible for any subset J of S. Thus, in this case $M(tr)$ has $2^{|S|}$ composition factors, which are naturally ono-to-one corresponding to the subsets of S.

When $\mathfrak{K} = \mathbb{C}$, Xi proved that $M(\theta)$ has only finitely many composition factors (see [Xi, Theorem 3.4]. Based on Xi's result and Theroems 3.1 and 4.1, it seems reasonable to suggest that $M(\theta)$ has only finitely many compostion factors for any character $\theta : T \to \mathfrak{K}^*$ provided that $\operatorname{char} \mathfrak{K} \neq \operatorname{char} \mathbb{F}_q$.

It should also be interesting to study the composition factors of $M(\theta)$ provided that $\operatorname{char} \mathfrak{K} = \operatorname{char} \mathbb{F}_q$. In this case, by now we only know two composition factors of $M(tr)$, the trivial representation and the Steinberg representation. For type A_1, $M(tr)$ has only the two composition factors. It is not clear that whether $M(tr)$ has only finitely many composition factors in general.

Acknowledgement. I thank Professor Nanhua Xi for suggesting the topic of this paper and for guidance.

References

[C] R. W. Carter, Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters, John Wiley and Sons, 1985.

[DL] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, Representations of reductive groups over finite fields. Ann. of Math. (2) 103 (1976), no. 1, 103C161.

[KL] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras. Invent. Math. 53 (1979), no. 2, 165C184.

[Ge] Geck, M.: Kazhdan-Lusztig cells and the Murphy basis. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 93 (2006), no. 3, 635C665.

[GP] Geck, M and Pfeiffer,G.: Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 21. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[St] R. Steinberg, Prime power representations of finite linear groups. II. Canad. J. Math. 9 (1957), 347C351.

[Xi] NanHua,Xi. Some infinite dimensional representations of reductive groups with Frobenius maps. (English summary) Sci. China Math. 57 (2014), no. 6, 1109C1120.

[Yang] Ruotao,Yang. Irreducibility of Infinite Dimensional Steinberg Modules of Reductive Groups with Frobenius Maps. arXiv:1507.03795.